

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
9 October 2003 (09.10.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
WO 03/082368 A1

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: A61L 31/16,  
27/54

(US). HAPP, Dorie, M.; 1134 Sherwood Avenue, San Jose, CA 95126 (US). SCHEINPFLUG, Kurt; 1035 Aster, #2185, Sunnyvale, CA 94086 (US). HU, Ty; 809 East Dana Street, Mountain View, CA 94041 (US).

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US03/07908

(22) International Filing Date: 13 March 2003 (13.03.2003)

(74) Agents: KERRIGAN, Cameron et al.; Squire, Sanders & Dempsey, L.L.P., 600 Hansen Way, Palo Alto, CA 94304-1043 (US).

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:  
10/108,004 27 March 2002 (27.03.2002) US

(71) Applicant: ADVANCED CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEMS, INC. [US/US]; 3200 Lakeside Drive, Santa Clara, CA 95054 (US).


(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(72) Inventors: HOSSAINY, Syed, F.A.; 34325 Tupelo Street, Fremont, CA 94555 (US). STEWART, Gordon, C.; 2133 Stockton Street, #A307, San Francisco, CA 94133 (US). WILLIAMS, Mark, A.; 2041 Rockport Avenue, Redwood City, CA 94065 (US). ROYAL, Jeff; 4197 17th Street, San Francisco, CA 94114 (US). CONSIGNY, Paul, M.; 252 Frangipani Court, San Jose, CA 95111

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: 40-O-(2-HYDROXY)ETHYL-RAPAMYCIN COATED STENT



(57) Abstract: A method and coating for reducing the release rate of an active agent from an implantable device, such as a stent, is disclosed.

WO 03/082368 A1

WO 03/082368 A1



**Published:**

— *with international search report*

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

**40-O-(2-HYDROXY)ETHYL-RAPAMYCIN COATED STENT****BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION****Field of the Invention**

The invention relates to drug eluting implantable devices, one example of which is a stent. More particularly, the invention relates to sustained delivery of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin from a stent.

**Description of the Background**

Percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA) is a procedure for treating heart disease. A catheter assembly having a balloon portion is introduced percutaneously into the cardiovascular system of a patient via the brachial or femoral artery. The catheter assembly is advanced through the coronary vasculature until the balloon portion is positioned across the occlusive lesion. Once in position across the lesion, the balloon is inflated to a predetermined size to remodel the vessel wall. The balloon is then deflated to a smaller profile to allow the catheter to be withdrawn from the patient's vasculature.

A problem associated with the above procedure includes formation of intimal flaps or torn arterial linings, which can collapse and occlude the conduit after the balloon is deflated. Vasospasms and recoil of the vessel wall also threaten vessel closure. Moreover, thrombosis and restenosis of the artery may develop over several months after the procedure, which may necessitate another angioplasty

procedure or a surgical by-pass operation. To reduce the partial or total occlusion of the artery by the collapse of arterial lining and to reduce the chance of the development of thrombosis and restenosis, an expandable, intraluminal prosthesis, also known as a stent, is implanted in the lumen to maintain the vascular patency.

5           Stents act as scaffoldings, functioning to physically hold open and, if desired, to expand the wall of the passageway. Typically, stents are capable of being compressed so that they can be inserted through small lumens via catheters and then expanded to a larger diameter once they are at the desired location. Mechanical intervention via stents has reduced the rate of restenosis as compared  
10 to balloon angioplasty. Yet, restenosis is still a significant clinical problem with rates ranging from 20-40%. When restenosis does occur in the stented segment, its treatment can be challenging, as clinical options are more limited as compared to lesions that were treated solely with a balloon.

          Stents are used not only for mechanical intervention but also as vehicles for  
15 providing biological therapy. Biological therapy can be achieved by medicating the stents. Medicated stents provide for the local administration of a therapeutic substance at the diseased site. In order to provide an efficacious concentration to the treated site, systemic administration of such medication often produces adverse or even toxic side effects for the patient. Local delivery is a preferred method of  
20 treatment in that smaller total levels of medication are administered in comparison to systemic dosages, but are concentrated at a specific site. Local delivery thus produces fewer side effects and achieves more favorable results.

One proposed method of medicating stents involves the use of a polymeric carrier coated onto the surface of the stent. A composition including a solvent, a polymer dissolved in the solvent, and a therapeutic substance dispersed in the blend is applied to the stent by immersing the stent in the composition or by spraying the composition onto the stent. The solvent is allowed to evaporate, leaving on the stent strut surfaces a coating of the polymer and the therapeutic substance impregnated in the polymer.

A potential shortcoming of the foregoing method of medicating stents is that the release rate of the therapeutic substance may be too high to provide an efficacious treatment. This shortcoming may be especially pronounced with certain therapeutic substances. For instance, it has been found that the release rate of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin from a standard polymeric coating is greater than 50% in about 24 hours. Thus, there is a need for a coating that reduces the release rate of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in order to provide a more efficacious release rate profile. The present invention provides a coating to meet this need.

### SUMMARY

In accordance with one aspect of the invention, a stent is disclosed including a radially expandable body and a coating covering at least a portion of the body, the coating having 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, or an analog or derivative thereof, wherein the release rate of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-

rapamycin, or the analog or derivative thereof, in 24 hours after the implantation of the stent is less than about 50% of the total amount contained in the coating.

In accordance with a further aspect of the present invention, a method of inhibiting or eliminating the development of restenosis following a stent placement  
5 procedure is disclosed including implanting a stent which can elude 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, or an analog or derivative thereof, at a release rate of less than 50% of the total amount of the drug carried by the stent in a 24 hour period following the implantation procedure.

In a further aspect, a method of providing drug delivery capability for a  
10 stent is disclosed including coating a stent with a polymer containing 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, or analog or derivative thereof, wherein the coating has an in vivo release rate of less than 50% of the total amount of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, or analog or derivative thereof, in a 24 hour period.

In yet another aspect of the present invention, a method of treating a  
15 polymeric coating on a stent to reduce the rate of release of the drug from the coating is disclosed including exposing the coating to a temperature of a sufficient degree to cause modifications in the structure of the polymer which allows for the reduction of the release rate of the drug through the polymer.

Also disclosed, in another aspect, is a method of treating a coated stent  
20 containing a therapeutic substance to reduce the rate of release of the therapeutic substance from the coating including subjecting the coating to a stimuli so as to change the property of at least a region of the coating such that the change of the

property of the region of the coating causes the therapeutic substance to be released more slowly from the region that has the changed property.

In another aspect of the present invention, a method of preparing a coated stent containing 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, or analog or derivative thereof, for an implantation procedure is disclosed including sterilizing the stent while  
5 maintaining the peak purity of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, or analog or derivative thereof, at a level greater than 90%.

#### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES**

Figures 1A-1C illustrate coatings deposited over an implantable medical  
10 substrate in accordance with various embodiments of the present invention;

Figures 2-3 are graphs showing the release rate of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin from stent coatings in accordance with embodiments of the present invention;

Figure 4 is a chromatograph as referred to in Examples 32 and 33; and

15 Figure 5 is a graph showing the release rate of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin from stent coatings in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.


## DETAILED DESCRIPTION

### Forming an Active Ingredient-Containing Coating

Herein is disclosed a method of forming a coating for an implantable device including applying a first composition with 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, or a functional analog or structural derivative thereof, to at least a portion of an implantable device to form a first layer. The release rate of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin is advantageously controlled by various methods and coatings as described below. In particular, by using the methods and coatings of the present invention, the release rate of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, or analog or derivative thereof, can be less than about 50% in 24 hours.

40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin is an immunosuppressant which is under investigation primarily for use with cyclosporine/steroids to prevent acute rejection episodes in renal transplant recipients. 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin is also under investigation for rejection prophylaxis following other types of transplantation (e.g., lung, liver). The chemical structure for 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin is as follows:





Examples of analogs or derivatives of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin include but are not limited to 40-O-(3-hydroxy)propyl-rapamycin and 40-O-[2-(2-hydroxy)ethoxy]ethyl-rapamycin.

10

40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin binds to the cytosolic immunophyllin FKBP12 and inhibits growth factor-driven cell proliferation, including that of T-cells and vascular smooth muscle cells. The actions of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin occur late in the cell cycle (i.e., late G1 stage) compared to other immunosuppressive agents such as tacrolimus or cyclosporine which block transcriptional activation of early T-cell-specific genes. Since 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin can act as a potent anti-proliferative agent, it is believed that 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin can be an effective agent to treat restenosis by being delivered to a local treatment site from a polymeric coated implantable device such as a stent.

15

20

The composition including 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin can be applied to the implantable device in various ways. In one embodiment, a first layer can be formed on the implantable device by (1) immersing the implantable device

into a solution containing 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin dissolved in a suitable solvent, or (2) spray coating the implantable device with the same solution containing 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin to form a reservoir layer. In this embodiment, the implantable device can include cavities or micro-pores for  
5 containing the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin.

The 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin can also be blended with a polymer and applied to the implantable device to form the reservoir layer. "Polymer," "poly," and "polymeric" are defined as compounds that are the product of a polymerization reaction and are inclusive of homopolymers, copolymers,  
10 terpolymers etc., including random, alternating, block, and graft variations thereof. The polymers should have a high capacity of adherence to the surface of an implantable device, such as a metallic surface of a stent, and a high capacity of adherence to polymeric surfaces.

In accordance with one embodiment, when the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin is blended with a polymer for the reservoir layer, the ratio of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, or analog or derivative thereof, to polymer by weight in the reservoir layer is about 1:2.8 to about 1:1. It has been found that this particular range of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin:polymer ratio provides a beneficial release rate of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin from the polymer matrix.  
15

In accordance with another embodiment, when the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin is blended with a polymer for the reservoir layer, the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, or analog or derivative thereof, is in the amount of about 50  $\mu$ g to about 500  $\mu$ g, more narrowly about 90  $\mu$ g to about 350  $\mu$ g, and the  
20

polymer is in the amount of about 50  $\mu\text{g}$  to about 1000  $\mu\text{g}$ , more narrowly about 90  $\mu\text{g}$  to about 500  $\mu\text{g}$ . These particular ranges of amounts for 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin and a polymer can provide a beneficial release rate of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin from the polymer matrix.

5        When the polymer solution is being prepared, a predetermined amount of a polymer can be added to a predetermined amount of a compatible solvent.

“Solvent” is defined as a liquid substance or composition that is compatible with the components of the composition and is capable of dissolving the component(s) at the concentration desired in the composition. Representative examples of

10        solvents include chloroform, acetone, water (buffered saline), dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), propylene glycol methyl ether (PM), iso-propylalcohol (IPA), n-propylalcohol, methanol, ethanol, tetrahydrofuran (THF), dimethylformamide (DMF), dimethylacetamide (DMAC), benzene, toluene, xylene, hexane, cyclohexane, pentane, heptane, octane, nonane, decane, decalin, ethyl acetate, butyl

15        acetate, isobutyl acetate, isopropyl acetate, butanol, diacetone alcohol, benzyl alcohol, 2-butanone, cyclohexanone, dioxane, methylene chloride, carbon tetrachloride, tetrachloroethylene, tetrachloro ethane, chlorobenzene, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, formamide, hexafluoroisopropanol, 1,1,1-trifluoroethanol, and hexamethyl phosphoramidate and a combination thereof.

20        The polymer can be added to the solvent at ambient pressure and under anhydrous atmosphere. If necessary, gentle heating and stirring and/or mixing can be employed to effect dissolution of the polymer into the solvent, for example 12 hours in a water bath at about 60°C.

Sufficient amounts of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin can then be dispersed in the blended composition of the polymer and the solvent. The polymer can comprise from about 0.1% to about 35%, more narrowly from about 0.5% to about 20% by weight of the total weight of the composition, the solvent can  
5 comprise from about 59.9% to about 99.8%, more narrowly from about 79% to about 99% by weight of the total weight of the composition, and the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin can comprise from about 0.1% to about 40%, more narrowly from about 1% to about 9% by weight of the total weight of the composition. More than 9% by weight of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin  
10 could adversely affect characteristics that are desirable in the polymeric coating, such as adhesion of the coating to the device. With the use of the optional primer layer, weight ratios of more than 9% for the active ingredient are achievable without compromising the effectiveness of the adhesion. Selection of a specific weight ratio of the polymer and solvent is dependent on factors such as, but not  
15 limited to, the material from which the device is made, the geometrical structure of the device, and the type and amount of the active ingredient employed.

Optionally, a second solvent, such as tetrahydrofuran (THF) or dimethylformamide (DMF), can be used to improve the solubility of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in the composition. The second solvent can be added to  
20 the composition or the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin can be added to the second solvent prior to mixture with the blend.

The 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin should be in true solution or saturated in the blended composition. If the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin is not completely soluble in the composition, operations including mixing, stirring, and/or agitation can be employed to effect homogeneity of the residues. The 40-O-

5 (2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin can also be first added to the second solvent prior to admixing with the composition. The 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin may be added so that the dispersion is in fine particles.

Representative examples of polymers that can be combined with 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin for the reservoir layer include ethylene vinyl alcohol

10 copolymer (commonly known by the generic name EVOH or by the trade name EVAL), poly(hydroxyvalerate); poly(L-lactic acid); polycaprolactone; poly(lactide-co-glycolide); poly(hydroxybutyrate); poly(hydroxybutyrate-co-valerate); polydioxanone; polyorthoester; polyanhydride; poly(glycolic acid); poly(D,L-lactic acid); poly(glycolic acid-co-trimethylene carbonate); polyphosphoester;

15 polyphosphoester urethane; poly(amino acids); cyanoacrylates; poly(trimethylene carbonate); poly(iminocarbonate); copoly(ether-esters) (e.g. PEO/PLA); polyalkylene oxalates; polyphosphazenes; biomolecules, such as fibrin, fibrinogen, cellulose, starch, collagen and hyaluronic acid; polyurethanes; silicones; polyesters; polyolefins; polyisobutylene and ethylene-alphaolefin copolymers; acrylic

20 polymers and copolymers; vinyl halide polymers and copolymers, such as polyvinyl chloride; polyvinyl ethers, such as polyvinyl methyl ether; polyvinylidene halides, such as polyvinylidene fluoride and polyvinylidene chloride; polyacrylonitrile; polyvinyl ketones; polyvinyl aromatics, such as polystyrene;

polyvinyl esters, such as polyvinyl acetate; copolymers of vinyl monomers with each other and olefins, such as ethylene-methyl methacrylate copolymers, acrylonitrile-styrene copolymers, ABS resins, and ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymers; polyamides, such as Nylon 66 and polycaprolactam; alkyd resins; 5 polycarbonates; polyoxymethylenes; polyimides; polyethers; epoxy resins; polyurethanes; rayon; rayon-triacetate; cellulose acetate; cellulose butyrate; cellulose acetate butyrate; cellophane; cellulose nitrate; cellulose propionate; cellulose ethers; and carboxymethyl cellulose.

Ethylene vinyl alcohol is functionally a very suitable choice of polymer.

10 Ethylene vinyl alcohol copolymer refers to copolymers comprising residues of both ethylene and vinyl alcohol monomers. One of ordinary skill in the art understands that ethylene vinyl alcohol copolymer may also be a terpolymer so as to include small amounts of additional monomers, for example less than about five (5) mole percentage of styrenes, propylene, or other suitable monomers. Ethylene vinyl 15 alcohol copolymers are available commercially from companies such as Aldrich Chemical Company, Milwaukee, WI, or EVAL Company of America, Lisle, IL, or can be prepared by conventional polymerization procedures that are well known to one of ordinary skill in the art.

The copolymer of EVAL allows for good control capabilities of the release 20 rate of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. As a general rule, an increase in the amount of the ethylene comonomer content decreases the rate that the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin is released from the copolymer matrix. The release rate

of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin typically decreases as the hydrophilicity of the copolymer decreases. An increase in the amount of the ethylene comonomer content increases the overall hydrophobicity of the copolymer, especially as the content of vinyl alcohol is concomitantly reduced. It is also thought that the release rate and the cumulative amount of the active ingredient that is released is directly proportional to the total initial content of the ingredient in the copolymer matrix. Accordingly, a wide spectrum of release rates can be achieved by modifying the ethylene comonomer content and the initial amount of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin.

10        Besides 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, another active agent can also be added to the first composition. The additional active agent may be any substance capable of exerting a therapeutic or prophylactic effect in the practice of the present invention. Examples of such active agents include antiproliferative, antineoplastic, antiinflammatory, antiplatelet, anticoagulant, antifibrin, antithrombin, antimitotic, 15        antibiotic, and antioxidant substances as well as combinations thereof. A suitable example of an antiproliferative substance is actinomycin D, or derivatives and analogs thereof (manufactured by Sigma-Aldrich 1001 West Saint Paul Avenue, Milwaukee, WI 53233; or COSMEGEN available from Merck). Synonyms of actinomycin D include dactinomycin, actinomycin IV, actinomycin I<sub>1</sub>, actinomycin 20        X<sub>1</sub>, and actinomycin C<sub>1</sub>. Examples of suitable antineoplastics include paclitaxel and docetaxel. Examples of suitable antiplatelets, anticoagulants, antifibrins, and antithrombins include aspirin, sodium heparin, low molecular weight heparin, hirudin, argatroban, forskolin, vapiprost, prostacyclin and prostacyclin analogs,

dextran, D-phe-pro-arg-chloromethylketone (synthetic antithrombin),  
dipyridamole, glycoprotein IIb/IIIa platelet membrane receptor antagonist,  
recombinant hirudin, thrombin inhibitor (available from Biogen), and 7E-3B® (an  
antiplatelet drug from Centocor). Examples of suitable antimitotic agents include  
5 methotrexate, azathioprine, vincristine, vinblastine, fluorouracil, adriamycin, and  
mutamycin. Examples of suitable cytostatic or antiproliferative agents include  
angiopeptin (a somatostatin analog from Ibsen), angiotensin converting enzyme  
inhibitors such as CAPTOPRIL (available from Squibb), CILAZAPRIL (available  
from Hoffman-LaRoche), or LISINOPRIL (available from Merck & Co.,  
10 Whitehouse Station, NJ); calcium channel blockers (such as Nifedipine),  
colchicine, fibroblast growth factor (FGF) antagonists, histamine antagonist,  
LOVASTATIN (an inhibitor of HMG-CoA reductase, a cholesterol lowering drug  
from Merck & Co.), monoclonal antibodies (such as PDGF receptors),  
nitroprusside, phosphodiesterase inhibitors, prostaglandin inhibitor (available from  
15 Glaxo), Seramin (a PDGF antagonist), serotonin blockers, thioprotease inhibitors,  
triazolopyrimidine (a PDGF antagonist), and nitric oxide. Other therapeutic  
substances or agents that may be appropriate include alpha-interferon; genetically  
engineered epithelial cells; dexamethasone; rapamycin; estradiol; clobetasol  
propionate; cisplatin; insulin sensitizers; receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors; and  
20 carboplatin. Exposure of the composition to the active ingredient should not  
adversely alter the active ingredient's composition or characteristic. Accordingly,  
the particular active ingredient is selected for compatibility with the blended  
composition.



The dosage or concentration of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin or other active agent required to produce a therapeutic effect should be less than the level at which the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin or other active agent produces unwanted toxic effects and greater than the level at which non-therapeutic results are obtained. The dosage or concentration of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin or other active agent required to inhibit the desired cellular activity of the vascular region, for example, can depend upon factors such as the particular circumstances of the patient; the nature of the trauma; the nature of the therapy desired; the time over which the ingredient administered resides at the vascular site; and if other bioactive substances are employed, the nature and type of the substance or combination of substances. Therapeutically effective dosages can be determined empirically, for example by infusing vessels from suitable animal model systems and using immunohistochemical, fluorescent or electron microscopy methods to detect the agent and its effects, or by conducting suitable in vitro studies. Standard pharmacological test procedures to determine dosages are understood by one of ordinary skill in the art.

#### Forming a Barrier Layer to Reduce the Rate of Release

In some coatings, the release rate of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin may be too high to be clinically useful. For example, in Example 22 below, the percentage of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin released from a stent coating without a barrier layer in 24 hours was determined to be 58.55 as measured in a porcine serum release rate procedure. The release rate from the coating of

Example 22 may be too high for a treatment using 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin as the active agent. The barrier layer of the present invention can reduce the rate of release or delay the time at which the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin is released from the reservoir layer.

- 5 In accordance with one embodiment, the barrier layer can be applied on a selected region of the reservoir layer to form a rate reducing member. The composition for the barrier layer can be substantially free of active agents. Alternatively, for maximum blood compatibility, compounds such as polyethylene glycol, heparin, heparin derivatives having hydrophobic counterions, or
- 10 polyethylene oxide can be added to the barrier layer.

- The choice of polymer for the barrier layer can be the same as the selected polymer for the reservoir. The use of the same polymer, as described for some of the embodiments, significantly reduces or eliminates any interfacial incompatibilities, such as lack of adhesion, which may exist in the employment of
- 15 two different polymeric layers.

- Representative examples of polymers that can be used for a barrier layer can include polytetrafluoroethylene, perfluoro elastomers, ethylene-tetrafluoroethylene copolymer, fluoroethylene-alkyl vinyl ether copolymer, polyhexafluoropropylene, low density linear polyethylenes having high molecular
- 20 weights, ethylene-olefin copolymers, atactic polypropylene, polyisobutene, polybutylenes, polybutenes, styrene-ethylene-styrene block copolymers, styrene-

butylene-styrene block copolymers, styrene-butadiene-styrene block copolymers, and ethylene methacrylic acid copolymers of low methacrylic acid content.

Ethylene vinyl alcohol is functionally a very suitable choice of polymer.

The copolymer allows for good control capabilities over the release rate of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. As a general rule, an increase in the amount of the ethylene comonomer content decreases the rate that the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin is released from the copolymer matrix. The release rate of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin decreases as the hydrophilicity of the polymer decreases. An increase in the amount of the ethylene comonomer content increases the overall hydrophobicity of the copolymer, especially as the content of vinyl alcohol is concomitantly reduced.

Fluoropolymers are also a suitable choice for the barrier layer composition.

For example, polyvinylidene fluoride (otherwise known as KYNAR, available from ATOFINA Chemicals, Philadelphia, PA) can be dissolved in HFE FLUX REMOVER (Techspray, Amarillo, TX) and can optionally be combined with EVAL to form the barrier layer composition. Also, solution processing of fluoropolymers is possible, particularly the low crystallinity varieties such as CYTOP available from Asahi Glass and TEFLON AF available from DuPont. Solutions of up to about 15% (wt/wt) are possible in perfluoro solvents, such as FC-75 (available from 3M under the brand name FLUORINERT), which are non-polar, low boiling solvents. Such volatility allows the solvent to be easily and

quickly evaporated following the application of the polymer-solvent solution to the implantable device.

In one embodiment, polybutylmethacrylate can be used for the barrier layer.

Polybutylmethacrylate, for example, can be dissolved in a solution of xylene,

5 acetone and HFE FLUX REMOVER.

The barrier layer can also be styrene-ethylene/butylene-styrene block copolymer. Styrene-ethylene/butylene-styrene block copolymer, e.g., Kraton G-series, can be dissolved in non-polar solvents such as, but not limited to, toluene, xylene, and decalin.

10 Other choices of polymers for the rate-limiting membrane include, but are not limited to, ethylene-anhydride copolymers; ethylene vinyl acetate copolymers having, for example, a mol % of vinyl acetate of from about 9% to about 25%; and ethylene-acrylic acid copolymers having, for example, a mol % of acrylic acid of from about 2% to about 25%. The ethylene-anhydride copolymer available from  
15 Bynel adheres well to EVAL and thus would function well as a barrier layer over a reservoir layer made from EVAL. The copolymer can be dissolved in organic solvents, such as dimethylsulfoxide and dimethylacetamide. Ethylene vinyl acetate polymers can be dissolved in organic solvents, such as toluene and n-butyl acetate. Ethylene-acrylic acid copolymers can be dissolved in organic solvents, such as  
20 methanol, isopropyl alcohol, and dimethylsulfoxide.

Yet another choice of polymer for the rate-limiting membrane is a cross-linked silicone elastomer. Loose silicone and silicone with very low cross-linking are thought to cause an inflammatory biological response. However, it is believed that a thoroughly cross-linked silicone elastomer, having low levels of leachable  
5 silicone polymer and oligomer, is an essentially non-inflammatory substance. Silicone elastomers, such as Nusil MED-4750, MED-4755, or MED2-6640, having high tensile strengths, for example between 1200 psi and 1500 psi, will likely have the best durability during crimping, delivery, and expansion of a stent as well as good adhesion to a reservoir layer, e.g., EVAL or the surface of an implantable  
10 device.

The embodiments of the composition for a rate-reducing membrane or diffusion barrier layer are prepared by methods wherein all components are combined, then blended. More particularly, a predetermined amount of a polymer can be added to a predetermined amount of a compatible solvent. The selected  
15 solvent should be capable of placing the polymer into solution at the concentration desired.

The polymer can be added to the solvent at ambient pressure and under anhydrous atmosphere. If necessary, gentle heating and stirring and/or mixing can be employed to effect dissolution of the polymer into the solvent, for example 12  
20 hours in a water bath at about 60°C. The polymer can comprise from about 0.1% to about 35%, more narrowly from about 1% to about 20% by weight of the total weight of the composition, and the solvent can comprise from about 65% to about

99.9%, more narrowly from about 80% to about 98% by weight of the total weight of the composition. Selection of a specific weight ratio of the polymer and solvent is dependent on factors such as, but not limited to, the type of polymer and solvent employed, the type of underlying reservoir layer, and the method of application.

5 In an embodiment, the barrier layer contains a polymer in the amount of about 25  $\mu\text{g}$  to about 500  $\mu\text{g}$ , more narrowly about 65  $\mu\text{g}$  to about 350  $\mu\text{g}$ . This particular range for the amount of barrier polymer can provide a beneficial release rate of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin from the polymer matrix.

#### Forming a Primer Layer

10 The presence of an active ingredient in a polymeric matrix can interfere with the ability of the matrix to adhere effectively to the surface of the device. Increasing the quantity of the active ingredient reduces the effectiveness of the adhesion. High drug loadings in the coating can hinder the retention of the coating on the surface of the device. A primer layer can serve as a functionally useful  
15 intermediary layer between the surface of the device and an active ingredient-containing or reservoir coating. The primer layer provides an adhesive tie between the reservoir coating and the device -- which, in effect, would also allow for the quantity of the active ingredient in the reservoir coating to be increased without compromising the ability of the reservoir coating to be effectively contained on the  
20 device during delivery and, if applicable, expansion of the device.

The composition for a primer layer is prepared by conventional methods wherein all components are combined, then blended. More particularly, a predetermined amount of a polymer or a prepolymer can be added to a predetermined amount of a solvent or a combination of solvents. The mixture can  
5 be prepared at ambient pressure and under anhydrous atmosphere. Heating and stirring and/or mixing can be employed to effect dissolution of the polymer into the solvent.

Representative examples of suitable polymers for the primer layer include, but are not limited to, polyisocyanates, such as triisocyanurate and polyisocyanate  
10 polyether polyurethanes based on diphenylmethane diisocyanate; acrylates, such as copolymers of ethyl acrylate and methacrylic acid; titanates, such as tetra-iso-propyl titanate and tetra-n-butyl titanate; zirconates, such as n-propyl zirconate and n-butyl zirconate; silane coupling agents, such as 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane and (3-glycidoxypropyl) methyldiethoxysilane; high amine content polymers, such as  
15 polyethyleneamine, polyallylamine, and polylysine; polymers with a high content of hydrogen bonding groups, such as polyethylene-co-polyvinyl alcohol, ethylene vinyl acetate, and melamine formaldehydes; and unsaturated polymers and prepolymers, such as polycaprolactone diacrylates, polyacrylates with at least two acrylate groups, and polyacrylated polyurethanes. With the use of unsaturated  
20 prepolymers, a free radical or UV initiator can be added to the composition for the thermal or UV curing or cross-linking process, as is understood by one of ordinary skill in the art.

Representative examples of polymers that can be used for the primer material also include those polymers that can be used for the reservoir layer as described above. The use of the same polymer significantly reduces or eliminates any interfacial incompatibilities, such as lack of an adhesive tie or bond, which  
5 may exist with the employment of two different polymeric layers.

Ethylene vinyl alcohol is a very suitable choice of polymer for the primer layer. The copolymer possesses good adhesive qualities to the surface of a stent, particularly stainless steel surfaces, and has illustrated the ability to expand with a stent without any significant detachment of the copolymer from the surface of the  
10 stent. The copolymer can comprise a mole percent of ethylene of from about 27% to about 48%.

By way of example, and not limitation, the polymer can comprise from about 0.1% to about 35%, more narrowly from about 1% to about 20% by weight of the total weight of the composition, and the solvent can comprise from about  
15 65% to about 99.9%, more narrowly from about 80% to about 98% by weight of the total weight of the primer composition. A specific weight ratio is dependent on factors such as the material from which the implantable device is made, the geometrical structure of the device, the choice of polymer-solvent combination, and the method of application.

20 In accordance with another embodiment, a fluid can be added to the composition to enhance the wetting of the primer composition for a more uniform coating application. To enhance the wetting of the composition, a suitable fluid



typically has a high capillary permeation. Capillary permeation or wetting is the movement of a fluid on a solid substrate driven by interfacial energetics. Capillary permeation is quantitated by a contact angle, defined as an angle at the tangent of a droplet in a fluid phase that has taken an equilibrium shape on a solid surface. A low contact angle indicates a higher wetting liquid. A suitably high capillary permeation corresponds to a contact angle less than about 90°. The wetting fluid, typically, should have a viscosity not greater than about 50 centipoise, narrowly about 0.3 to about 5 centipoise, more narrowly about 0.4 to about 2.5 centipoise. The wetting fluid, accordingly, when added to the composition, reduces the viscosity of composition.

The wetting fluid should be compatible with the polymer and the solvent and should not precipitate the polymer. The wetting fluid can also act as the solvent. Useful examples of the wetting fluid include, but are not limited to, tetrahydrofuran (THF), dimethylformamide (DMF), 1-butanol, n-butyl acetate, dimethyl acetamide (DMAC), and mixtures and combinations thereof.

#### Forming a Finishing Layer

Depending on the polymer used for the reservoir or barrier layers, it may be advantageous to form a finishing layer that is especially biocompatible on the surface of the coating that is exposed to the biological lumen when inserted into a patient. Representative examples of suitable biocompatible polymers or biocompatible agents for the finishing layer include, but are not limited to ethylene

vinyl alcohol copolymer, polyethylene oxide, polyethylene glycol, hyaluronic acid, polyvinyl pyrrolidone, heparin, heparin derivatives such as those having hydrophobic counterions, and phosphylcholine.

#### Methods For Applying the Compositions to the Device

5           Application of the composition can be by any conventional method, such as by spraying the composition onto the prosthesis or by immersing the prosthesis in the composition. Operations such as wiping, centrifugation, blowing, or other web-clearing acts can also be performed to achieve a more uniform coating. Briefly, wiping refers to physical removal of excess coating from the surface of the  
10   stent; centrifugation refers to rapid rotation of the stent about an axis of rotation; and blowing refers to application of air at a selected pressure to the deposited coating. Any excess coating can also be vacuumed off the surface of the device. The addition of a wetting fluid leads to a consistent application of the composition which also causes the coating to be uniformly deposited on the surface of the  
15   prosthesis.

          With the use of the thermoplastic polymers for the primer, such as ethylene vinyl alcohol copolymer, polycaprolactone, poly(lactide-co-glycolide), poly(hydroxybutyrate), etc., the deposited primer composition should be exposed to a heat treatment at a temperature range greater than about the glass transition  
20   temperature ( $T_g$ ) and less than about the melting temperature ( $T_m$ ) of the selected polymer. Unexpected results have been discovered with treatment of the composition under this temperature range, specifically strong adhesion or bonding

of the coating to the metallic surface of a stent. The device should be exposed to the heat treatment for any suitable duration of time that would allow for the formation of the primer coating on the surface of the device as well as for the evaporation of the solvent or combination of solvent and wetting fluid. It is understood that essentially all of the solvent and the wetting fluid will be removed from the composition, but traces or residues may remain blended with the polymer.

Table 1 lists the  $T_g$  and  $T_m$  for some of the polymers used in the embodiments of the present invention.  $T_g$  and  $T_m$  of polymers are attainable by one of ordinary skill in the art. The cited exemplary temperature and time for exposure are provided by way of illustration and are not meant to be limiting.

Table 1

Polymer	$T_g$ (°C)	$T_m$ (°C)	Exemplary Temperature (°C)	Exemplary Duration of Time For Heating
EVAL	55	165	140	4 hours
polycaprolactone	-60	60	50	2 hours
ethylene vinyl acetate (e.g., 33% vinyl acetate content)	36	63	45	2 hours
Polyvinyl alcohol	75-85*	200-220*	165	2 hours

\* Exact temperature depends on the degree of hydrolysis which is also known as the amount of residual acetate.

With the use of one of the aforementioned thermoset primer polymers, the use of initiators may be required. By way of example, epoxy systems consisting of diglycidyl ether of bisphenol A resins can be cured with amine curatives, thermoset

polyurethane prepolymers can be cured with polyols, polyamines, or water (moisture), and acrylated urethane can be cured with UV light. If baked, the temperature can be above the  $T_g$  of the selected polymer.

With the use of the inorganic primer polymers, such as silanes, titanates,  
5 and zirconates, the solvent is allowed to evaporate.

The composition containing the active ingredient can be applied to a designated region of the primer coating or the surface of the device. The solvent(s) or the combination of solvent(s) and the wetting fluid is removed from the composition by allowing the solvent(s) or combination of the solvent(s) and the  
10 wetting fluid to evaporate. The evaporation can be induced by heating the device at a predetermined temperature for a predetermined period of time. For example, the device can be heated at a temperature of about 60°C for about 12 hours to about 24 hours. The heating can be conducted in an anhydrous atmosphere and at ambient pressure and should not exceed the temperature which would adversely  
15 affect the active ingredient. The heating can also be conducted under a vacuum condition. It is understood that essentially all of the solvent and the wetting fluid will be removed from the composition, but traces or residues may remain blended with the polymer.

The diffusion barrier layer can be formed on a designated region of the  
20 active ingredient-containing coating subsequent to the evaporation of the solvent(s) or solvent(s)/wetting fluid and the drying of the polymer for the active ingredient-containing coating. Alternatively, in embodiments in which a polymeric reservoir

coating is not employed, the rate-reducing membrane may be formed directly over active-ingredient containing cavities within the surface of the prosthesis. The diffusion barrier layer can be applied by spraying the composition onto the device or immersing the device in the composition, then drying the polymer. The above-  
5 described processes can be similarly repeated for the formation of the diffusion barrier layer.

#### Thermal Treatment of the Coating

After the coating has been formed on the implantable device, depending on the polymers used in the coating, the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin can diffuse  
10 from the polymer matrix at a rate that could be too high for certain clinical conditions. Accordingly, the coating can be exposed to a temperature that is effective to decrease the diffusion rate of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin from the polymer matrix. In particular, the coating can be exposed to a sufficient temperature effective to decrease the release rate of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-  
15 rapamycin, or analog or derivative thereof, by about 50% as compared to a control group, as demonstrated in Example 17 below.

Typically, the temperature will be between the glass transition temperature ( $T_g$ ) and the melting temperature ( $T_m$ ) of the polymer. For example, the temperature can be the annealing temperature of the polymer (about equal to  
20  $T_g + T_m / 2$ ). The thermal treatment can be conducted in an anhydrous atmosphere.

and at ambient pressure. The treatment can also be conducted under a vacuum condition.

The exposure temperature should not adversely affect the characteristics of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin or other active agents present in the coating.

- 5 In order to prevent possible degradation of the active agents or the polymers in the coating, additives can be mixed with the polymer before or during the coating process to shift the thermal profile of the polymer (i.e., decrease the  $T_g$  and  $T_m$  of the polymer). For example, a plasticizer, which is usually a low molecular weight nonvolatile molecule, can be dissolved with the polymer before the application
- 10 process. The plasticizer can be an active agent. A representative example of an additive is dioctyl phthalate.

- In one embodiment, one of the polymers in the coating exposed to the temperature is a semi-crystalline (e.g., polyvinyl chloride and EVAL) polymer. Without being bound by any particular theory, it is believed that the diffusion rate
- 15 of the active agent from the polymer is decreased because the thermal radiation increases the percent crystallinity of the polymer. Others types of energy, such as RF energy, can also be used to increase the percent crystallinity. "Percent crystallinity" refers to the percentage of the polymer material that is in a crystalline form. Those of ordinary skill in the art understand that there are several methods
- 20 for determining the percent crystallinity in polymers. These methods are, for example, described in L.H. Sperline, Introduction to Physical Polymer Science (3rd

ed. 2001). The first involves the determination of the heat of fusion of the whole sample by calorimetric methods. The heat of fusion per mole of crystalline material can be estimated independently by melting point depression experiments.

A second method involves the determination of the density of the crystalline portion via X-ray analysis of the crystal structure, and determining the theoretical density of a 100% crystalline material. The density of the amorphous material can be determined from an extrapolation of the density from the melt to the temperature of interest. Then the percent crystallinity is given by:

$$\% \text{ Crystallinity} = \frac{\rho_{\text{exptl}} - \rho_{\text{amorph}}}{\rho_{100\% \text{ cryst}} - \rho_{\text{amorph}}} \times 100$$

where  $\rho_{\text{exptl}}$  represents the experimental density, and  $\rho_{\text{amorph}}$  and  $\rho_{100\% \text{ cryst}}$  are the densities of the amorphous and crystalline portions, respectively.

A third method stems from the fact that X-ray diffraction depends on the number of electrons involved and is thus proportional to the density. Besides Bragg diffraction lines for the crystalline portion, there is an amorphous halo caused by the amorphous portion of the polymer. The amorphous halo occurs at a slightly smaller angle than the corresponding crystalline peak, because the atomic spacings are larger. The amorphous halo is broader than the corresponding crystalline peak, because of the molecular disorder. This third method can be quantified by the crystallinity index, CI, where

$$CI = \frac{A_c}{A_a + A_c}$$

and where  $A_c$  and  $A_a$  represent the area under the Bragg diffraction line and corresponding amorphous halo, respectively.

The heat emitter used to thermal treat the coating can be any apparatus that  
5 emits thermal radiation. For example, the heat emitter can be a cauterizer tip. The heat emitter can also be a blower that includes a heating device so that the blower can direct a warm gas (e.g., air, argon or nitrogen) onto the implantable device. The heating device can be any heating device as known by those of ordinary skill in the art. For example, the heating device can be an electric heater incorporating  
10 heating coils.

In one embodiment of the present invention, the thermal radiation from the heat emitter can be directed to only certain portions of the implantable device or only for certain durations so that the diffusion rates of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin from the polymer differs in various portions of the coating. In one  
15 example, the implantable device can have two or more segments along the longitudinal axis of the implantable device, such as a first segment, a second segment and a third segment. The thermal radiation could be directed substantially only at the first segment and the third segment, for instance, by using a cauterizer tip. Alternatively, the thermal radiation could be set higher for the first and third  
20 segments, or the thermal radiation could be directed at the first and third segments for a longer duration than the second segment. As a result, the polymer along the first segment and the third segment would have a greater percent crystallinity than



the polymer along the second segment. Therefore, the diffusion rates of the active agent from the polymer matrix along the first segment and the third segment would be less than the diffusion rate along the second segment.

In another embodiment, by limiting the time that the coating is exposed to thermal radiation so that the percent crystallinity is not maximized throughout the entire thickness of the coating, the shallower regions of the coating will have a higher percent crystallinity than the deeper regions. In a particular example, if the coating has four regions with the fourth region as the deepest, by limiting the thermal treatment, the first or shallowest region would have a higher percent crystallinity than the fourth or deepest region. One of ordinary skill in the art will understand that the duration and temperature of the exposure will depend on the desired diffusion rate of the polymer, and the inherent characteristics of the polymers used in the coating.

#### Sterilization of the Implantable Device

After the implantable device has been coated according to the various embodiments of the present invention, the implantable device can be sterilized by various methods. According to conventional thought, a coating containing 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin cannot be sterilized with many techniques because the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin is degraded by the processes. For example, it was thought that a polymer coating containing 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin could not be sterilized with an e-beam procedure because the free radicals produced during the process would degrade the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. Similarly, it has been thought that exposing a coating with 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-

rapamycin to ethylene oxide or peroxide gas would also degrade the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. However, it has unexpectedly been found that the coatings of the present invention protect the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin during sterilization procedures (e.g., using an e-beam or ethylene oxide process).

- 5 In fact, subsequent to sterilization, the peak purity of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin has been greater than 90% when included in the coatings of the present invention.

In an embodiment of the present invention, the particular procedure used to sterilize the coating can also be used to expose the coating to a temperature that is effective to decrease the diffusion rate of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin from the polymer matrix. In particular, during the sterilization procedure (e.g., the ethylene oxide procedure) the coating can be exposed to a sufficient temperature effective to decrease the release rate of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, or analog or derivative thereof, by about 50% as compared to a control group.

15 Examples of the Device

The device or prosthesis coated in accordance with embodiments of the present invention may be any suitable medical substrate that can be implanted in a human or veterinary patient. Examples of such implantable devices include self-expandable stents, balloon-expandable stents, stent-grafts, grafts (e.g., aortic grafts), artificial heart valves, cerebrospinal fluid shunts, pacemaker electrodes, and endocardial leads (e.g., FINELINE and ENDOTAK, available from Guidant Corporation, Santa Clara, CA). The underlying structure of the device can be of virtually any design. The device can be made of a metallic material or an alloy

such as, but not limited to, cobalt chromium alloy (ELGILOY), stainless steel (316L), high nitrogen stainless steel, e.g., BIODUR 108, cobalt chrome alloy L-605, "MP35N," "MP20N," ELASTINITE (Nitinol), tantalum, nickel-titanium alloy, platinum-iridium alloy, gold, magnesium, or combinations thereof.

- 5 "MP35N" and "MP20N" are trade names for alloys of cobalt, nickel, chromium and molybdenum available from Standard Press Steel Co., Jenkintown, PA.
- "MP35N" consists of 35% cobalt, 35% nickel, 20% chromium, and 10% molybdenum. "MP20N" consists of 50% cobalt, 20% nickel, 20% chromium, and 10% molybdenum. Devices made from bioabsorbable or biostable polymers could
- 10 also be used with the embodiments of the present invention.

- The embodiments of the present invention may be particularly useful for the coatings of small vessel stents. Small vessels stents can be generally categorized as having inner diameters of less than 2.5 mm in an expanded state. Because of their small size, small vessel stents offer unique challenges for drug delivery. In
- 15 particular, as compared to conventionally sized stents, small vessel stents have a greater surface:volume ratio. Therefore, when a small vessel stent is inserted into a biological lumen, the vessel tissue surrounding a small vessel stent is exposed to a greater concentration of polymer and active agent.

- The various embodiments of the present invention can be used to address
- 20 some of the challenges offered by the use of small vessel stents. For example, it is thought that it is especially important that small vessel stents have lower amounts of polymer in their coatings, as compared to larger sized stents, in order to reduce

the risk of an inflammatory response by the vessel tissue. However, it may also be important to have a barrier layer on the stent coating in order to have a low release rate of the active agents, such as 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. With the inclusion of the barrier layer, the amount of polymer on the coating may be

5 sufficient to cause an unwanted inflammatory response. In order to address these countervailing concerns, one approach would be to provide a thinner barrier layer on the polymer matrix as compared to larger sized stents, and then heat treat the barrier layer to increase the crystallinity of the barrier layer polymer, thereby decreasing the release rate of the active agent from the reservoir region. In an

10 alternative embodiment, the polymer used for the barrier layer can initially have a very high percent crystallinity. In yet another embodiment, the reservoir layer can be heat treated to reduce the release rate of active agent from the reservoir region without the use of a barrier layer.

In another particular example, it is thought that it is especially important for

15 small vessel stents to have a biocompatible coating. One approach to address this need is to provide a finishing layer on the stent that contains a highly biocompatible polymer such as polyethylene glycol, or biocompatible agents such as heparin. For example, a finishing layer can be applied over a barrier layer so that the coating offers a usefully low release rate of an active agent and also

20 provides a highly biocompatible coating.

#### Coating

Some of the various embodiments of the present invention are illustrated by Figures 1A, 1B, and 1C. The Figures have not been drawn to scale, and the thickness of the various layers have been over or under emphasized for illustrative purposes.

5 Referring to Figure 1A, a body of a medical substrate 20, such as a stent, is illustrated having a surface 22. Medical substrate 20 includes cavities or micropores 24 formed in the body for releasably containing an active ingredient, as illustrated by dotted region 26. A barrier layer or rate-reducing membrane 28 is disposed on surface 22 of medical substrate 20, covering cavities 24. Barrier layer  
10 28 functions to reduce the rate of release of an active agent (e.g., 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin) from medical substrate 20.

Referring to Figure 1B, medical substrate 20 is illustrated having a primer layer 30 formed on surface 22. An active agent-containing or reservoir coating 32 is deposited on primer layer 30. Primer layer 30 serves as an intermediary layer for  
15 increasing the adhesion between reservoir coating 32 and surface 22. Increasing the amount of active ingredient admixed within the polymer diminishes the adhesiveness of reservoir layer 32 to surface 22. Accordingly, using an active agent-free polymer as an intermediary primer layer 30 allows for a higher active ingredient content for reservoir layer 32. Barrier layer 28 is formed over at least a  
20 selected portion of reservoir layer 32. One of ordinary skill in the art can appreciate that barrier layer 28 can be deposited only on selected areas of reservoir layer 32 so as to provide a variety of selected release parameters. Such selected

patterns may become particularly useful if a combination of active agents are used, each of which requires a different release parameter.

Figure 1C illustrates medical substrate 20 having a first reservoir layer 32A disposed on a selected portion of surface 22 of medical substrate 20. First reservoir layer 32A contains a first active agent, e.g., 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. A second reservoir layer 32B can also be disposed on surface 22. Second reservoir layer 32B contains a second active ingredient, e.g., taxol. First and second reservoir layers 32A and 32B are covered by first and second barrier layers 28A and 28B, respectively. In accordance with one embodiment, the polymeric material in barrier layer 28B has been exposed to thermal treatment, whereas the polymeric material in barrier layer 28A has not. As a result, the polymeric material in barrier 28B has a higher percent crystallinity than the polymeric material in barrier layer 28A. Accordingly, by producing a coating such as the one shown in Figure 1C, a wide array of release parameters can be obtained for any selected combination of active agents.

Barrier layer 28 can have any suitable thickness, as the thickness of barrier layer 28 is dependent on parameters such as, but not limited to, the desired rate of release and the procedure for which the stent will be used. For example, barrier layer 28 can have a thickness of about 0.1 to about 10 microns, more narrowly from about 0.25 to about 5 microns.

By way of example, and not limitation, the impregnated reservoir layer 32 can have a thickness of about 0.5 microns to about 1.5 microns. The particular

thickness of reservoir layer 32 is based on the type of procedure for which medical substrate 20 is employed and the amount of the active agent to be delivered. The amount of the active agent to be included on the prosthesis can be further increased by applying a plurality of reservoir layers 32 on top of one another. The optional  
5 primer layer 30 can have any suitable thickness, examples of which can be in the range of about 0.1 to about 10 microns, more narrowly about 0.1 to about 2 microns.

#### Method of Use

In accordance with the above-described method, the active agent can be  
10 applied to a device, e.g., a stent, retained on the device during delivery and released at a desired control rate and for a predetermined duration of time at the site of implantation. A stent having the above-described coating layers is useful for a variety of medical procedures, including, by way of example, treatment of obstructions caused by tumors in bile ducts, esophagus, trachea/bronchi and other  
15 biological passageways. A stent having the above-described coating layers is particularly useful for treating occluded regions of blood vessels caused by abnormal or inappropriate migration and proliferation of smooth muscle cells, thrombosis, and restenosis. Stents may be placed in a wide array of blood vessels, both arteries and veins. Representative examples of sites include the iliac, renal,  
20 and coronary arteries.

Briefly, an angiogram is first performed to determine the appropriate positioning for stent therapy. Angiography is typically accomplished by injecting a

radiopaque contrasting agent through a catheter inserted into an artery or vein as an x-ray is taken. A guidewire is then advanced through the lesion or proposed site of treatment. Over the guidewire is passed a delivery catheter, which allows a stent in its collapsed configuration to be inserted into the passageway. The delivery  
5 catheter is inserted either percutaneously, or by surgery, into the femoral artery, brachial artery, femoral vein, or brachial vein, and advanced into the appropriate blood vessel by steering the catheter through the vascular system under fluoroscopic guidance. A stent having the above-described coating layers may then be expanded at the desired area of treatment. A post insertion angiogram may also  
10 be utilized to confirm appropriate positioning.

### EXAMPLES

The embodiments of the present invention will be illustrated by the following set forth examples.

15

#### Example 1

35 13 mm PENTA stents (available from Guidant Corporation) were coated by spraying a 2% (w/w) solution of poly(ethylene-co-vinyl alcohol) (44 mole % ethylene) ("EVAL") in 98% (w/w) dimethylacetamide. The solvent was removed by baking at 140°C for 2 hours. A solution of 1.9% (w/w) EVAL and 0.7% (w/w)  
20 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of 68.2% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 29.2% (w/w) ethanol was spray coated onto the stents to a thickness with a



target of 175  $\mu\text{g}$  of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin on each stent. The stents were then baked at 50°C for 2 hours. A barrier layer was formed by spraying the stents with a 4% (w/w) solution of EVAL in a mixture of 76% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 20% (w/w) pentane. Another 2 hour bake at 50°C was  
5 performed to remove the solvent.

A select number of stents were analyzed to compare the target coating formulation with the final coating formulation. The results are as follows: For the primer layer, there was a target dry weight of 40  $\mu\text{g}$  of polymer, and a measured average dry weight of  $43 \pm 3$   $\mu\text{g}$  of polymer. For the reservoir layer, the target  
10 drug:polymer ratio was 1:2.857, the target dry weight for the entire reservoir coating was 675  $\mu\text{g}$  and the average actual dry weight was  $683 \pm 19$   $\mu\text{g}$ . Also for the reservoir layer, the average total drug content of the stent coatings was determined by the process described in Example 2. The average drug content was 133  $\mu\text{g}$  or  $152 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ . For the barrier layer, the target dry weight of polymer was 300  $\mu\text{g}$  and  
15 the measured average dry weight was  $320 \pm 13$   $\mu\text{g}$ .

### Example 2

A drug-coated stent was placed in a volumetric flask. An appropriate amount of the extraction solvent acetonitrile with 0.02% BHT as protectant was added (e.g., in a 10 ml volumetric flask, with about 9 ml solvent added). The flask  
20 was sonicated for a sufficient time to extract all of the drug from the reservoir region. Then, the solution in the flask was filled to mark with the solvent solution. The drug solution was the analyzed by HPLC. The HPLC system consisted of a

Waters 2690 system with an analytical pump, a column compartment (set at 40°C), an auto-sampler, and a 996 PDA detector. The column was an YMC Pro C18 (150 mm x 4.6 I.D., 3 µm particle size), maintained at a temperature of 40°C. The mobile phase consisted of 75% acetonitrile and 25% 20 mMolar ammonium acetate. The flow rate was set on 1 ml/min. The HPLC release rate results were quantified by comparing the results with a reference standard. The total drug content of the stent was then calculated.

### Example 3

34 13 mm PENTA stents were coated by spraying a 2% (w/w) solution of EVAL and 98% (w/w) dimethylacetamide. The solvent was removed by baking at 140°C for 2 hours. A solution of 1.9% (w/w) EVAL and 1.1% (w/w) 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of 67.9% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 29.1% (w/w) ethanol was spray coated onto the stents to a thickness with a target of 275 µg of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin on each stent. The stents were then baked at 50°C for 2 hours. A barrier layer was formed by spraying the stents with a 4% (w/w) solution of EVAL in a mixture of 76 % (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 20% (w/w) pentane. Another 2 hour bake at 50°C was performed to remove the solvent.

A select number of stents were analyzed to compare the target coating formulation with the final coating formulation. The results are as follows: For the primer layer, there was a target dry weight of 40 µg of polymer, and a measured average dry weight of 43±3 µg of polymer. For the reservoir layer, the target

drug:polymer ratio was 1:1.75, the target dry weight for the entire reservoir coating was 757  $\mu\text{g}$  and the average actual dry weight was  $752 \pm 23$   $\mu\text{g}$ . Also for the reservoir layer, the average total drug content of the stent coatings was determined by the process described in Example 2. The average drug content was 205  $\mu\text{g}$  or 5 235  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ . For the barrier layer, the target dry weight of polymer was 200  $\mu\text{g}$  and the measured average dry weight was  $186 \pm 13$   $\mu\text{g}$ .

#### Example 4

24 13 mm PENTA stents were coated by spraying a 2% (w/w) solution of EVAL and 98% (w/w) dimethylacetamide. The solvent was removed by baking at 10 140°C for 2 hours. A solution of 1.9% (w/w) EVAL and 1.2% (w/w) 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of 67.8% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 29.1% (w/w) ethanol was spray coated onto the stents to a thickness with a target of 325  $\mu\text{g}$  of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin on each stent. The stents were then baked at 50°C for 2 hours. A barrier layer was formed by spraying the stents with a 15 4% (w/w) solution of EVAL in a mixture of 76 % (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 20% (w/w) pentane. Another 2 hour bake at 50°C was performed to remove the solvent.

A select number of stents were analyzed to compare the target coating formulation with the final coating formulation. The results are as follows: For the 20 primer layer, there was a target dry weight of 40  $\mu\text{g}$  of polymer, and a measured average dry weight of  $41 \pm 2$   $\mu\text{g}$  of polymer. For the reservoir layer, the target drug:polymer ratio was 1:1.6, the target dry weight for the entire reservoir coating

was 845  $\mu\text{g}$  and the average actual dry weight was  $861 \pm 16 \mu\text{g}$ . Also for the reservoir layer, the average total drug content of the stent coatings was determined by the process described in Example 2. The average drug content was 282  $\mu\text{g}$  or  $323 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ . For the barrier layer, the target dry weight of polymer was 125  $\mu\text{g}$  and  
5 the measured average dry weight was  $131 \pm 9 \mu\text{g}$ .

#### Example 5

This Example 5 is referred to as the "Release Rate Profile Procedure." A drug-coated stent was placed on a stent holder of a Vankel Bio-Dis release rate tester (Vankel, Inc., Cary, NC). The stent was dipped into an artificial medium  
10 which stabilizes the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in the testing solution, including a phosphate buffer saline solution (10 mM, pH 7.4) with 1% TRITON X-100 (Sigma Corporation), for a designated amount of time (e.g., 3 hours). Then the solution was analyzed for the amount of drug released from the stent coating using an HPLC process. The HPLC system consisted of a Waters 2690 system with an  
15 analytical pump, a column compartment (set at  $40^\circ\text{C}$ ), an auto-sampler, and a 996 PDA detector. The column was an YMC Pro C18 (150 mm x 4.6 I.D., 3  $\mu\text{m}$  particle size), maintained at a temperature of  $40^\circ\text{C}$ . The mobile phase consisted of 75% acetonitrile and 25% 20 mMolar ammonium acetate. The flow rate was set on 1 ml/min. After the drug solution was analyzed by HPLC the results were  
20 quantified by comparing the release rate results with a reference standard.

If the experimental protocol required that the stent coating be subjected to experimental conditions for an additional time, the stent was then dipped in a fresh

medium solution for the necessary amount of time (e.g., another 3 hours) and the drug released in the solution was analyzed again according to the HPLC procedure described above. The procedure was repeated according to the number of data points required. The release rate profile could then be generated by plotting

- 5 cumulative drug released in the medium vs. time.

#### Example 6

The release rate of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin from the stents with coatings produced by the processes under Examples 1, 3 and 4 were tested using the in vitro HPLC process as described in Example 5. The solution for each stent

10 underwent two HPLC runs, and the results were averaged.

The following Table 2 summarizes the results of the release rate procedure for two stents from Example 1:

Table 2

Time (hrs)	3	6	9	12	23	32	48
Cumulative Release from Stent 1 ( $\mu\text{g}$ )	3.72	5.62	7.12	8.43	12.28	15.31	20.28
Cumulative Release from Stent 2 ( $\mu\text{g}$ )	4.18	6.53	8.54	10.29	15.64	19.66	26.3

- 15 The following Table 3 summarizes the results of the release rate procedure

for two stents from Example 3:

Table 3

Time (hrs)	3	6	9	12	23	32	48
Cumulative Release from Stent 1 ( $\mu\text{g}$ )	29.73	45.35	57.79	68.19	95.2	110.85	130.75
Cumulative Release from Stent 2 ( $\mu\text{g}$ )	26.36	41.2	53.5	63.99	93.93	112.31	135.7

5

The following Table 4 summarizes the results of the release rate procedure for two stents from Example 4:

Table 4

Time (hrs)	3	6	9	12	23	32	48
Cumulative Release from Stent 1 ( $\mu\text{g}$ )	46.24	67.4	82.79	94.92	124.72	141.96	165.12
Cumulative Release from Stent 2 ( $\mu\text{g}$ )	44.66	66.74	82.26	94.49	123.92	140.07	159.65

A comparison of the release rates for the stents from Examples 1, 3 and 4 is graphically shown in Figure 2.

5

Example 7

The following Example 7 is referred to as the "3 day In Vivo Release Rate Procedure" or the "9 day In Vivo Release Rate Procedure," depending on the number of days the stents are inserted into the experimental animal. The following are the materials used for this Example:

- 10      1. Experimental animal: One 30-45 kg Yorkshire cross pig;
2. BMW™ wires 0.014", 190 cm;
3. Guide wire 0.035", 190 cm;
4. Viking guide catheters, 7F;
5. Introducer sheaths (8-10F);
- 15      6. ACS 20/20 Indeflator™ Inflation Device;
7. Saline; solution with heparin;
8. Nitroglycerin, Lidocaine, other inotropic/chronotropic drugs;
9. Standard surgical equipment, anesthetic, and medications as necessary;
10. Respiratory and hemodynamic monitoring systems;
- 20      11. Positive pressure ventilator and associated breathing circuits;
12. ACT machine and accessories;

13. PTCA accessories;
14. Ambulatory defibrillator;
15. Fluoroscopy equipment; and
16. Non-ionic contrast agent;

5           The following was the procedure used for this Example:

A. Animal Preparation.

1. Administer Aspirin (325 mg PO) once daily starting one day prior to stent implantation.
2. Sedate the pig.
- 10   3. Intubate the trachea via an oral approach.
4. Deliver isoflurane (up to about 5%) to achieve and maintain an adequate plane of anesthesia.
5. Shave the sheath introduction area free of hair and scrub the surgical site with surgical soap and/or antiseptic solution.
- 15   6. Place a 7F introducer sheath into the right or left femoral artery.
7. Obtain an arterial blood sample for a baseline ACT.
8. Administer heparin 200units/kg IV (not to exceed 100,000 units) and obtain a blood sample for measurement of ACT 5-10 minutes later.
9. Repeat heparin as needed to maintain ACT  $\geq$  300 seconds.
- 20   10. Measure and record arterial blood pressure, heart rate and electrocardiogram (ECG).

B. Angiography for vessel selection.



1. Advance the guiding catheter over the guidewire into the aortic arch and cannulate the desired vessel.
2. Administer nitroglycerin (200 µg) intra-luminally prior to baseline angiography.
- 5 3. Perform baseline angiogram and record images on cine.
4. With the diameter of the guiding catheter as a reference, select vasculature that will allow a target stent to artery ratio of about 1.1:1.0.

**C. Stent Preparation and Deployment.**

- 10 1. Perform online QCA and measure baseline proximal, target, and distal reference sites.
2. Administer nitroglycerin (200 µg) intra-luminally prior to stent deployment, then as needed to control coronary artery vasospasm.
3. Inspect the stent delivery system. Ensure that the stent is correctly positioned on the balloon. Inspect the stent for any abnormalities.
- 15 4. Flush guidewire lumen with heparinized saline until fluid exits the guidewire notch.
5. Prepare Indeflator/syringe with diluted (approximately 50:50) contrast medium.
6. Attach syringe to test catheter inflation port; use standard techniques to fill  
20 the inflation lumen with diluted contrast.
7. Purge syringe and test catheter inflation lumen of all air.
8. Purge Indeflator of all air and attach to test catheter inflation port.
9. Position an appropriate guidewire in the distal bed of the target artery.

10. Insert the stent delivery system through the guiding catheter over the guidewire.
11. Advance the stent delivery system to the pre-selected arterial deployment site.
- 5 12. Position balloon for inflation.
13. Refer to IFU for inflation strategy. If no IFU available, inflate the balloon at a slow steady rate to a pressure that expands the stent to the desired diameter. Hold at this pressure for 30 seconds.
14. Record inflated balloon by pulling image on cine. Perform on-line QCA and measure the inflated balloon diameter.
- 10 15. Deflate balloon by pulling negative pressure. While withdrawing the system, observe tactually and fluoroscopically. Record any resistance.
16. Administer nitroglycerin (200 µg) intra-luminally.
17. Assess patency, deployment, and placement of stent via coronary angiography.
- 15 18. Assess TIMI angiographic low grade.
19. Record on cine and video.
20. Measure post- proximal, target, and distal MLD with QCA.
21. Repeat Section C with remaining stent delivery system.
- 20 22. Measure and record heart rate, arterial blood pressure and electrocardiogram (ECG).

**D. Stent Procedure End.**

1. Remove the guidewire, guiding catheter and introducer sheath.

2. Remove introducer sheath from the femoral artery.
3. Apply pressure to the femoral artery at the side of sheath entry.
4. Allow the animal to recover from anesthesia in an individual cage.
5. Give Buprenorphine (0.05 mg/kg) PRN as needed for pain.
- 5 6. Administer Ticlopidine (250 mg PO) and aspirin (325 mg PO) once daily until date of follow-up angiography.

E. Study End.

1. Euthanize the pig with an overdose of barbiturates and/or potassium chloride.
- 10 2. Excise the heart without flushing the vessels.
3. Harvest all stented arteries.
4. Remove the stent from all treated arteries and place them in dark colored amber vials for subsequent drug concentration analysis.
5. Snap freeze the arterial tissue in liquid nitrogen and store at  $-70^{\circ}\text{C}$  until
- 15 subsequent analysis of tissue for drug concentrations as determined by HPLC.

The stents harvested from the experimental animals were tested using an HPLC procedure to determine how much drug remained on the stents. A drug-coated stent removed from the experimental animal was placed in a volumetric

20 flask. An appropriate amount of the extraction solvent acetonitrile with 0.02% BHT as protectant was added (e.g., in a 10 ml volumetric flask, with about 9 ml solvent added). The flask was sonicated for a sufficient time to extract all of the drug from the reservoir region. Then, the solution in the flask was filled to mark

with the solvent solution. The HPLC system consisted of a Waters 2690 system with an analytical pump, a column compartment (set at 40°C), an auto-sampler, and a 996 PDA detector. The column was an YMC Pro C18 (150 mm x 4.6 LD., 3 µm particle size), maintained at a temperature of 40°C. The mobile phase consisted of  
5 75% acetonitrile and 25% 20 mMolar ammonium acetate. The flow rate was set on 1 ml/min. The HPLC release rate results were quantified by comparing the results with a reference standard. The total drug released in vivo was the difference between the average drug loaded on the stents and the amount of drug remaining on the stents after the stent implantation into the experimental animal.

10

#### Example 8

The release rate of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin from the stents with coatings produced by the process under Example 1 were tested using a 3 day in vivo process as described in Example 7. In particular, stents from Example 1 were implanted into experimental animals and then the stents were tested by HPLC to  
15 determine how much 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin diffused from the stent coating into the blood vessel. According to the HPLC analysis, 21.8 µg of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin was released from the coating in 3 days, or 16.4% of the total drug content of the coating.

#### Example 9

20 The release rate of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin from the stents with coatings produced by the process under Example 3 were tested using a 3 day in

vivo process as described in Example 7. In particular, stents from Example 3 were implanted into experimental animals and then the stents were tested by HPLC to determine how much 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin diffused from the stent coating into the blood vessel. According to the HPLC analysis, 7.8 µg of the 40-O-  
5 (2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin was released from the coating in 3 days, or 3.8% of the total drug content of the coating.

#### Example 10

The release rate of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin from the stents with coatings produced by the process under Example 4 were tested using a 3 day in  
10 vivo process as described in Example 7. In particular, stents from Example 4 were implanted into experimental animals and then the stents were tested by HPLC to determine how much 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin diffused from the stent coating into the blood vessel. According to the HPLC analysis, 50.8 µg of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin was released from the coating in 3 days, or 18% of  
15 the total drug content of the coating.

#### Example 11

The release rate of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin from the stents with coatings produced by the process under Example 3 were tested using a 9 day in vivo process as described in Example 7. In particular, stents from Example 3 were  
20 implanted into experimental animals and then the stents were tested by HPLC to determine how much 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin diffused from the stent

coating into the blood vessel. According to the HPLC analysis, 29.7% of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin was released from the coating in 9 days.

#### Example 12

The release rate of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin from the stents with  
5 coatings produced by the process under Example 4 were tested using a 9 day in  
vivo process as described in Example 7. In particular, stents from Example 4 were  
implanted into experimental animals and then the stents were tested by HPLC to  
determine how much 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin diffused from the stent  
coating into the blood vessel. According to the HPLC analysis, 39.4% of the 40-O-  
10 (2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin was released from the coating in 9 days.

#### Example 13

A 13 mm PIXEL stent (available from Guidant Corporation) was coated.  
The stent had a yellowish-gold coating that included ethylene vinyl alcohol  
copolymer and actinomycin D. The ends of the stent were heated with a cauterizer  
15 tip for fifteen (15) seconds at a current setting of 2.2 Amps, which corresponded to  
a temperature of about 106°C at a distance of about 0.006 inches from the stent.

After the stent was exposed to heat from the cauterizer tip, the stent was  
submerged in a 50% (w/w) methanol:water bath. After twenty-four (24) hours, the  
stent was observed to have drug present at the stent end rings as indicated by a  
20 yellowish hue. The middle section of the stent, however, was clear, indicating that  
the drug had been released through the polymer. This process was repeated on 40  
stents yielding similar results for all the stents.

Example 14

13 mm PIXEL stents were coated. The stents had yellowish-gold coatings that included ethylene vinyl alcohol copolymer and actinomycin D. The stents were separated into three experimental groups, and the ends of the stents were heated with a cauterizer tip according to the parameters shown in Table 5 for each group. After the stents were exposed to heat from the cauterizer tip, the stent was submerged in a 50% (w/w) methanol:water bath. After twenty-four (24) hours, the stents were observed as summarized in Table 5.

Table 5

Experimental Group	Current (Amps)	Exposure Time (Seconds)	Observation
1	2.0	10	Least gold coloration in the end sections compared to the stents from Experimental Groups 2 and 3, indicating the least amount of drug remaining in the stent coating.
2	2.2	8	Moderate gold coloration in the end sections.
3	2.4	5	Most gold coloration in the end sections compared to the stents from Experimental Groups 1 and 2 indicating the most amount of drug remaining in the stent coating.

It was observed that the coating in the middle section of the stents, which did not have significant exposure to heat from the cauterizer tip, was clear. This indicates that the drug had been eluted from the stents. On the other hand, the end rings of the stents which had been exposed to heat from the cauterizer tip still  
5 appeared gold in color, indicating the presence of drug in the stent coating. The results above indicate that varying the amount of time and heat exposure can modify the elution rate of drug from the stent.

#### Example 15

8 mm PIXEL stents were coated by spraying a 2% (w/w) solution of EVAL  
10 and 98% (w/w) dimethylacetamide. The solvent was removed by baking at 140°C for 2 hours. A solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of 70% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 30% (w/w) ethanol was spray coated onto the stents. The stents were then baked at 50°C for 2 hours. A barrier layer was formed by spraying the stents with a solution of EVAL in a mixture of 80%  
15 (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 20% (w/w) pentane. Another 2 hour bake at 50°C was performed to remove the solvent.

A select number of stents were analyzed to compare the target coating formulation with the final coating formulation. The results are as follows: For the primer layer, there was a target dry weight of 26 µg of polymer, and a measured  
20 average dry weight of 28±3 µg of polymer. For the reservoir layer, the target drug:polymer ratio was 1:1.25, and the measured average drug content was 128 µg. For the barrier layer, the measured average dry weight was 84 µg.



### Example 16

8 mm PIXEL stents were coated by spraying a 2% (w/w) solution of EVAL and 98% (w/w) dimethylacetamide. The solvent was removed by baking at 140°C for 2 hours. A solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of 70% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 30% (w/w) ethanol was spray coated onto the stents. The stents were then baked at 50°C for 2 hours. A barrier layer was formed by spraying the stents with a solution of EVAL in a mixture of 80% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 20% (w/w) pentane. Another 2 hour bake at 50°C was performed to remove the solvent.

A select number of stents were analyzed to compare the target coating formulation with the final coating formulation. The results are as follows: For the primer layer, there was a target dry weight of 26 µg of polymer, and a measured average dry weight of 28±2 µg of polymer. For the reservoir layer, the target drug:polymer ratio was 1:1.5, and the measured average drug content was 130 µg. For the barrier layer, the measured average dry weight was 81 µg.

After the solvent had been substantially removed and the coatings had been formed, a select number of stents were then heat treated by exposing the stents to a heat of 80°C for 2 hours.

### Example 17

The release rate of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin from the stents with coatings produced by the processes under Examples 15 and 16 were tested using

the process described in Example 5. The following Table 6 summarizes the results of the release rate procedure for three stents from Example 15:

5

Table 6

Time (hrs)	3	6	9	12	24	32	48
Cumulative Release from Stent 1 ( $\mu\text{g}$ )	15.44	24.63	32.20	38.43	56.04	64.81	77.36
Cumulative Release from Stent 2 ( $\mu\text{g}$ )	12.70	21.29	28.57	34.55	51.19	59.27	71.15
Cumulative Release from Stent 3 ( $\mu\text{g}$ )	13.00	21.92	29.31	35.40	52.55	60.48	72.05

The following Table 7 summarizes the results of the release rate procedure for three stents from Example 16:

Table 7

Time (hrs)	3	6	9	12	24	32	48
Cumulative Release from Stent 1 ( $\mu\text{g}$ )	5.52	9.37	12.73	15.71	24.33	29.20	38.02
Cumulative Release from Stent 2 ( $\mu\text{g}$ )	6.73	10.86	14.39	17.41	25.99	30.29	38.00
Cumulative Release from Stent 3 ( $\mu\text{g}$ )	5.76	9.14	12.02	14.50	21.21	24.61	31.23

A comparison of the release rates for the stents from Examples 15-16 is graphically shown in Figure 3. The results unexpectedly show that the stent coatings that were exposed to thermal treatment in Example 16 have a significantly lower release rate than the stent coatings of Example 15.

#### Example 18

This Example 18 is referred to as the "Porcine Serum Release Rate Procedure." A drug-coated stent was placed on a stent holder of a Vankel Bio-Dis release rate tester. The stent was dipped into porcine serum, with 0.1% sodium azide added, for 24 hrs. The stent was removed from the porcine serum and the drug solution analyzed by an HPLC procedure to determine how much drug was released into the porcine serum. The HPLC system consisted of a Waters 2690

system with an analytical pump, a column compartment (set at 40°C), an auto-sampler, and a 996 PDA detector. The column was an YMC Pro C18 (150 mm x 4.6 I.D., 3 µm particle size), maintained at a temperature of 40°C. The mobile phase consisted of 75% acetonitrile and 25% 20 mMolar ammonium acetate. The flow rate was set on 1 ml/min. The HPLC release rate results were quantified by comparing the results with a reference standard.

#### Example 19

13 mm PENTA stents were coated by spraying a 2% (w/w) solution of EVAL and 98% (w/w) dimethylacetamide. The solvent was removed by baking at 140°C for 2 hours. A solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of 70% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 30% (w/w) ethanol was spray coated onto the stents. The stents were then baked at 50°C for 2 hours. A barrier layer was formed by spraying the stents with a solution of EVAL in a mixture of 80% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 20% (w/w) pentane. Another 2 hour bake at 50°C was performed to remove the solvent.

A select number of stents were analyzed to quantify the coating components. For the primer layer, there was a target dry weight of 40 µg of polymer, and a measured average dry weight of 45±1 µg of polymer. For the reservoir layer, the drug:polymer ratio was 1:1, and the measured average drug content was 151 µg as determined by Example 2. For the barrier layer, the measured average dry weight was 234 µg.

After the coatings were formed on the stents, a select number of stents were tested for the drug release rate from the coatings according to the procedure described in Example 18. It was determined that the average drug released in 24 hours was 32.6  $\mu\text{g}$ , or 21.6% of the total.

5

Example 20

13 mm PENTA stents were coated by spraying a 2% (w/w) solution of EVAL and 98% (w/w) dimethylacetamide. The solvent was removed by baking at 140°C for 2 hours. A solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of 70% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 30% (w/w) ethanol was spray coated onto the stents. The stents were then baked at 50°C for 2 hours. A barrier layer was formed by spraying the stents with a solution of EVAL in a mixture of 80% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 20% (w/w) pentane. Another 2 hour bake at 50°C was performed to remove the solvent.

A select number of stents were analyzed to quantify the coating components. For the primer layer, there was a target dry weight of 40  $\mu\text{g}$  of polymer, and a measured average dry weight of  $44 \pm 3$   $\mu\text{g}$  of polymer. For the reservoir layer, the drug:polymer ratio was 1:1.8, and the measured average drug content was 97  $\mu\text{g}$  as determined by Example 2. For the barrier layer, the measured average dry weight was 184  $\mu\text{g}$ .

20

After the coatings were formed on the stents, a select number of stents were tested for the drug release rate from the coatings according to the procedure

described in Example 18. It was determined that the average drug released in 24 hours was 24.1  $\mu$ g, or 24.8% of the total.

#### Example 21

13 mm PENTA stents were coated by spraying a 2% (w/w) solution of  
5 EVAL and 98% (w/w) dimethylacetamide. The solvent was removed by baking at 140°C for 2 hours. A solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of 70% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 30% (w/w) ethanol was spray coated onto the stents. The stents were then baked at 50°C for 2 hours. A barrier layer was formed by spraying the stents with a solution of EVAL in a mixture of 80%  
10 (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 20% (w/w) pentane. Another 2 hour bake at 50°C was performed to remove the solvent.

A select number of stents were analyzed to quantify the coating components. For the primer layer, there was a target dry weight of 40  $\mu$ g of polymer, and a measured average dry weight of  $41 \pm 1$   $\mu$ g of polymer. For the  
15 reservoir layer, the drug:polymer ratio was 1:1.8, and the measured average drug content was 227  $\mu$ g as determined by Example 2. For the barrier layer, the measured average dry weight was 181  $\mu$ g.

After the coatings were formed on the stents, a select number of stents were tested for the drug release rate from the coatings according to the procedure  
20 described in Example 18. It was determined that the average drug released in 24 hours was 27.5  $\mu$ g, or 12.1% of the total.

### Example 22

13 mm PENTA stents were coated by spraying a 2% (w/w) solution of EVAL and 98% (w/w) dimethylacetamide. The solvent was removed by baking at 140°C for 2 hours. A solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of 70% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 30% (w/w) ethanol was spray coated onto the stents. The stents were then baked at 50°C for 2 hours. No barrier layer was applied for this Example.

A select number of stents were analyzed to quantify the coating components. For the primer layer, there was a target dry weight of 40 µg of polymer, and a measured average dry weight of 44±2 µg of polymer. For the reservoir layer, the drug:polymer ratio was 1:1.8, and the measured average drug content was 221 µg as determined by Example 2.

After the coatings were formed on the stents, a select number of stents were tested for the drug release rate from the coatings according to the procedure described in Example 18. It was determined that the average drug released in 24 hours was 129.4 µg, or 58.55% of the total.

### Example 23

13 mm PENTA stents were coated by spraying a 2% (w/w) solution of EVAL and 98% (w/w) dimethylacetamide. The solvent was removed by baking at 140°C for 2 hours. A solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of 70% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 30% (w/w) ethanol was spray coated

onto the stents. The stents were then baked at 50°C for 2 hours. A barrier layer was formed by spraying the stents with a solution of EVAL in a mixture of 80% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 20% (w/w) pentane. Another 2 hour bake at 50°C was performed to remove the solvent.

5           A select number of stents were analyzed to quantify the coating components. For the primer layer, there was a target dry weight of 40  $\mu\text{g}$  of polymer, and a measured average dry weight of 42  $\mu\text{g}$  of polymer. For the reservoir layer, the drug:polymer ratio was 1:1.5, and the measured average drug content was 184  $\mu\text{g}$  as determined by Example 2. For the barrier layer, the measured average dry weight was 81  $\mu\text{g}$ .

After the coatings were formed on the stents, a select number of stents were tested for the drug release rate from the coatings according to the procedure described in Example 18. It was determined that the average drug released in 24 hours was 70.1  $\mu\text{g}$ , or 38.1% of the total.

**15** **Example 24**

8 mm PIXEL stents were coated by spraying a 2% (w/w) solution of EVAL and 98% (w/w) dimethylacetamide. The solvent was removed by baking at 140°C for 2 hours. A solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of 70% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 30% (w/w) ethanol was spray coated onto the stents. The stents were then baked at 50°C for 2 hours. A barrier layer was formed by spraying the stents with a solution of EVAL in a mixture of 80%



(w/w) dimethylacetamide and 20% (w/w) pentane. Another 2 hour bake at 50°C was performed to remove the solvent.

A select number of stents were analyzed to quantify the coating components. For the primer layer, there was a target dry weight of 40 µg of polymer, and a measured average dry weight of 45±1 µg of polymer. For the reservoir layer, the drug:polymer ratio was 1:1.75, and the measured average drug content was 200 µg as determined by Example 2. For the barrier layer, the measured average dry weight was 180 µg.

After the coatings were formed on the stents, a select number of stents were tested for the drug release rate from the coatings according to the procedure described in Example 18. It was determined that the average drug released in 24 hours was 39.0 µg, or 19.5% of the total.

#### Example 25

8 mm PIXEL stents were coated by spraying a 2% (w/w) solution of EVAL and 98% (w/w) dimethylacetamide. The solvent was removed by baking at 140°C for 2 hours. A solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of 70% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 30% (w/w) ethanol was spray coated onto the stents. The stents were then baked at 50°C for 2 hours. A barrier layer was formed by spraying the stents with a solution of EVAL in a mixture of 80% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 20% (w/w) pentane. Another 2 hour bake at 50°C was performed to remove the solvent.

A select number of stents were analyzed to quantify the coating components. For the primer layer, there was a target dry weight of 40  $\mu\text{g}$  of polymer, and a measured average dry weight of  $41 \pm 4$   $\mu\text{g}$  of polymer. For the reservoir layer, the drug:polymer ratio was 1:1, and the measured average drug  
5 content was 167  $\mu\text{g}$  as determined by Example 2. For the barrier layer, the measured average dry weight was 184  $\mu\text{g}$ .

After the coatings were formed on the stents, a select number of stents were tested for the drug release rate from the coatings according to the procedure described in Example 18. It was determined that the average drug released in 24  
10 hours was 6.0  $\mu\text{g}$ , or 3.6% of the total.

#### Example 26

8 mm PIXEL stents were coated by spraying a 2% (w/w) solution of EVAL and 98% (w/w) dimethylacetamide. The solvent was removed by baking at 140°C for 2 hours. A solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a  
15 mixture of 70% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 30% (w/w) ethanol was spray coated onto the stents. The stents were then baked at 50°C for 2 hours. A barrier layer was formed by spraying the stents with a solution of EVAL in a mixture of 80% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 20% (w/w) pentane. Another 2 hour bake at 50°C was performed to remove the solvent.

20 A select number of stents were analyzed to quantify the coating components. For the primer layer, there was a target dry weight of 26  $\mu\text{g}$  of

polymer, and a measured average dry weight of  $24 \pm 2$   $\mu\text{g}$  of polymer. For the reservoir layer, the drug:polymer ratio was 1:1.25, and the measured average drug content was 120  $\mu\text{g}$  as determined by Example 2. For the barrier layer, the measured average dry weight was 138  $\mu\text{g}$ .

- 5        After the coatings were formed on the stents, a select number of stents were tested for the drug release rate from the coatings according to the procedure described in Example 18. It was determined that the average drug released in 24 hours was 11.0  $\mu\text{g}$ , or 9.2% of the total.

#### Example 27

- 10        13 mm PENTA stents were coated by spraying a 2% (w/w) solution of EVAL and 98% (w/w) dimethylacetamide. The solvent was removed by baking at 140°C for 2 hours. A solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of 70% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 30% (w/w) ethanol was spray coated onto the stents. The stents were then baked at 50°C for 2 hours. A barrier layer
- 15        was formed by spraying the stents with a solution of 1% (w/w) polybutylmethacrylate, 5.7% (w/w) acetone, 50% (w/w) xylene and 43.3% (w/w) HFE FLUX REMOVER (Techspray, Amarillo, TX). Another 2 hour bake at 50°C was performed to remove the solvent.

- A select number of stents were analyzed to quantify the coating
- 20        components. For the primer layer, there was a target dry weight of 40  $\mu\text{g}$  of polymer, and a measured average dry weight of  $44 \pm 4$   $\mu\text{g}$  of polymer. For the

reservoir layer, the drug:polymer ratio was 1:1, and the measured average drug content was 183  $\mu\text{g}$  as determined by Example 2. For the barrier layer, the measured average dry weight was 168  $\mu\text{g}$ .

After the coatings were formed on the stents, a select number of stents were  
5 tested for the drug release rate from the coatings according to the procedure described in Example 18. It was determined that the average drug released in 24 hours was 21.6  $\mu\text{g}$ , or 11.8% of the total.

#### Example 28

13 mm PENTA stents were coated by spraying a 2% (w/w) solution of  
10 EVAL and 98% (w/w) dimethylacetamide. The solvent was removed by baking at 140°C for 2 hours. A solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of 70% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 30% (w/w) ethanol was spray coated onto the stents. The stents were then baked at 50°C for 2 hours. A barrier layer was formed by spraying the stents with a solution of 1% (w/w)  
15 polybutylmethacrylate, 5.7% (w/w) acetone, 50% (w/w) xylene and 43.3% (w/w) HFE FLUX REMOVER. Another 2 hour bake at 50°C was performed to remove the solvent.

A select number of stents were analyzed to quantify the coating components. For the primer layer, there was a target dry weight of 40  $\mu\text{g}$  of  
20 polymer, and a measured average dry weight of  $41 \pm 2$   $\mu\text{g}$  of polymer. For the reservoir layer, the drug:polymer ratio was 1:1.8, and the measured average drug

content was 102 µg as determined by Example 2. For the barrier layer, the measured average dry weight was 97 µg.

After the coatings were formed on the stents, a select number of stents were tested for the drug release rate from the coatings according to the procedure described in Example 18. It was determined that the average drug released in 24 hours was 9.1 µg, or 8.9% of the total.

#### Example 29

8 mm PIXEL stents were coated by spraying a 2% (w/w) solution of EVAL and 98% (w/w) dimethylacetamide. The solvent was removed by baking at 140°C for 2 hours. A solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of 70% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 30% (w/w) ethanol was spray coated onto the stents. The stents were then baked at 50°C for 2 hours. A barrier layer was formed by spraying the stents with a solution of 1% (w/w) polybutylmethacrylate, 5.7% (w/w) acetone, 50% (w/w) xylene and 43.3% (w/w) HFE FLUX REMOVER (Techspray, Amarillo, TX). Another 2 hour bake at 50°C was performed to remove the solvent.

A select number of stents were analyzed to quantify the coating components. For the primer layer, there was a target dry weight of 26 µg of polymer, and a measured average dry weight of 27±2 µg of polymer. For the reservoir layer, the drug:polymer ratio was 1:1.25, and the measured average drug content was 120 µg as determined by Example 2. For the barrier layer, the

measured average dry weight was 68  $\mu\text{g}$ .

After the coatings were formed on the stents, a select number of stents were tested for the drug release rate from the coatings according to the procedure described in Example 18. It was determined that the average drug released in 24  
5 hours was 22.0  $\mu\text{g}$ , or 18.3% of the total.

#### Example 30

A select number of stents from Example 3 were tested for the drug release rate from the coatings according to the procedure described in Example 18. It was determined that the average drug released in 24 hours was 22.8  $\mu\text{g}$ , or 11.1% of the  
10 total.

#### Example 31

A select number of stents from Example 4 were tested for the drug release rate from the coatings according to the procedure described in Example 18. It was determined that the average drug released in 24 hours was 57.0  $\mu\text{g}$ , or 20.2% of the  
15 total.

#### Example 32

Two stents were coated by spraying a 2% (w/w) solution of EVAL and 98% (w/w) dimethylacetamide to form a primer layer. For the primer layer, there was a target dry weight of 100  $\mu\text{g}$  of polymer, and the measured dry weights were 93  $\mu\text{g}$   
20 and 119  $\mu\text{g}$ , respectively. The two stents were then coated with an EVAL-40-O-(2-

hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin blend at a drug:polymer ratio of 2:1 to produce a reservoir layer. After application, it was determined that the reservoir layers had weights of 610  $\mu\text{g}$  and 590  $\mu\text{g}$ , respectively. From the total weight of the reservoir layers and the drug:polymer ratio, it was estimated that the coatings contained  
5 about 407  $\mu\text{g}$  and 393  $\mu\text{g}$  of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, respectively. Polymeric barrier layers were also applied to the stents and it was determined that the weights of the barrier layers were 279  $\mu\text{g}$  and 377  $\mu\text{g}$ , respectfully.

The stents from this Example were then sterilized using an ethylene oxide sterilization process. In particular, the stents were placed in a chamber and  
10 exposed to ethylene oxide gas for 6 hours at 130-140°F, with a relative humidity of 45-80%. The stents were then aerated for about 72 hours at 110-130°F.

After sterilization, the coatings were then analyzed using an HPLC to determine the peak purity of the drug in the stent coatings. It was determined that the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in the coatings had peak purities of about  
15 greater than 95%. Figure 4 is a chromatograph showing the peak purity the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in one of the coatings, labeled "ETO," as compared to a reference standard for 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, labeled "Ref. Std."

### Example 33

Two stents were coated by spraying a 2% (w/w) solution of EVAL and 98%  
20 (w/w) dimethylacetamide to form a primer layer. For the primer layer, there was a target dry weight of 100  $\mu\text{g}$  of polymer, and the measured dry weights were 99  $\mu\text{g}$

and 94  $\mu\text{g}$ , respectively. The two stents were then coated with an EVAL-40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin blend at a drug:polymer ratio of 2:1 to produce a reservoir layer. After application, it was determined that the reservoir layers had weights of 586  $\mu\text{g}$  and 588  $\mu\text{g}$ , respectively. From the total weight of the reservoir  
5 layers and the drug:polymer ratio, it was estimated that the coatings contained about 391  $\mu\text{g}$  and 392  $\mu\text{g}$  of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, respectively. Polymeric barrier layers were also applied to the stents and it was determined that the weights of the barrier layers were 380  $\mu\text{g}$  and 369  $\mu\text{g}$ , respectfully.

The stents from this Example were then sterilized using an e-beam  
10 sterilization process. In particular, the stents were placed in a stent container which was run through an e-beam chamber. While moving through the e-beam chamber via a conveyor belt, the stent container was exposed to an e-beam with a constant energy level so that the stent container received between 33.11 and 46.24 KGy. The stent therefore at any point along the length of the stent received at a minimum  
15 25 KGy.

After sterilization, the coating was then analyzed using an HPLC to determine the peak purity of the drug in the stent coating. It was determined that the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in the coating had a peak purity of about greater than 95%. Figure 4 is a chromatograph showing the peak purity the 40-O-  
20 (2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in one of the coatings, labeled "e-beam," as compared to a reference standard for 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, labeled "Ref. Std."



Example 34

13 mm PENTA stents were coated by spraying a 2% (w/w) solution of EVAL and 98% (w/w) dimethylacetamide. The solvent was removed by baking at 140°C for 2 hours. A solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of 70% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 30% (w/w) ethanol was spray coated onto the stents. The stents were then baked at 50°C for 2 hours. A barrier layer was formed by spraying the stents with a solution of EVAL in a mixture of 80% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 20% (w/w) pentane. Another 2 hour bake at 50°C was performed to remove the solvent.

10 A select number of stents were analyzed to quantify the coating components. For the primer layer, there was a target dry weight of 40 µg of polymer, and a measured average dry weight of 44±3 µg of polymer. For the reservoir layer, the drug:polymer ratio was 1:2, and the measured average drug content was 245 µg as determined by Example 2. For the barrier layer, the  
15 measured average dry weight was 104 µg.

After the coatings were formed on the stents, a select number of stents were tested for the drug release rate from the coatings according to the procedure described in Example 18. It was determined that the average drug released in 24 hours was 23.5 µg, or 9.6% of the total.

20

Example 35

13 mm PENTA stents were coated by spraying a 2% (w/w) solution of

5 EVAL and 98% (w/w) dimethylacetamide. The solvent was removed by baking at 140°C for 2 hours. A solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of 70% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 30% (w/w) ethanol was spray coated onto the stents. The stents were then baked at 50°C for 2 hours. A barrier layer was formed by spraying the stents with a solution of EVAL in a mixture of 80% (w/w) dimethylacetamide and 20% (w/w) pentane. Another 2 hour bake at 50°C was performed to remove the solvent.

10 A select number of stents were analyzed to quantify the coating components. For the primer layer, there was a target dry weight of 40 µg of polymer, and a measured average dry weight of  $45 \pm 3$  µg of polymer. For the reservoir layer, the drug:polymer ratio was 1:1.5, and the measured average drug content was 337 µg as determined by Example 2. For the barrier layer, the measured average dry weight was 169 µg.

15 After the coatings were formed on the stents, a select number of stents were tested for the drug release rate from the coatings according to the procedure described in Example 18. It was determined that the average drug released in 24 hours was 37.1 µg, or 11.0% of the total.

#### Example 36

20 Stents from Example 34 and stents from Example 35 were sterilized according to the process described in Example 32. The released rates of the drug in the stent coatings of sterilized stents and non-sterilized were then tested according

to the process described in Example 5. The results of the release rate test are graphically shown in Figure 5.

#### Example 37

A 13 mm PENTA stent can be coated by spraying a solution of EVAL, 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin and ethanol onto the stent. The stent is then baked at 50°C for 2 hours to yield a reservoir coating with 300 µg of EVAL and 300 µg of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. A barrier layer can be formed by spraying the stent with a solution of EVAL and pentane. A second 2 hour bake at 50°C can be performed to remove the solvent to yield a barrier coating with 320 µg of EVAL.

#### Example 38

A 13 mm PENTA stent can be coated by spraying a solution of EVAL and DMAC onto the stent. The solvent is removed by baking at 140°C for 2 hours to yield a primer coating with 100 µg of EVAL. A reservoir layer can be applied by spraying a solution of EVAL, 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin and ethanol onto the stent. The stent is then baked at 50°C for 2 hours to yield a reservoir coating with 200 µg of EVAL and 400 µg of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. A barrier layer can be formed by spraying the stent with a solution of EVAL and pentane. A second 2 hour bake at 50°C is performed to remove the solvent to yield a barrier coating with 350 µg of EVAL.

Example 39

A 13 mm PENTA stent can be coated by spraying a solution of EVAL, 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin and ethanol onto the stent. The stent is then baked at 50°C for 2 hours to yield a reservoir coating with 500 µg of EVAL and 250 µg of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. A barrier layer can be formed by spraying the stent with a solution of EVAL and pentane. A second 2 hour bake at 50°C is performed to remove the solvent to yield a barrier coating with 300 µg of EVAL.

Example 40

A 13 mm PENTA stent can be coated by spraying a solution of EVAL, 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin and ethanol onto the stent. The stent is then baked at 50°C for 2 hours to yield a reservoir coating with 475 µg of EVAL and 175 µg of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. A barrier layer can be formed by spraying the stent with a solution of EVAL and pentane. A second 2 hour bake at 50°C is performed to remove the solvent to yield a barrier coating with 300 µg of EVAL.

Example 41

An 8 mm PIXEL stent can be coated by spraying a solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of dimethylacetamide and ethanol onto the stent. The stent is then baked at 50°C for 2 hours to yield a reservoir coating with 400 µg of EVAL and 200 µg of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. A barrier layer can be formed by spraying the stent with a solution of EVAL and a mixture of dimethylacetamide and pentane. A second 2 hour bake at 50°C is

performed to remove the solvent to yield a barrier coating with 300 µg of EVAL.

#### Example 42

An 8 mm Pixel stent can be coated by spraying a solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of dimethylacetamide and ethanol onto the stent. The stent is then baked at 50°C for 2 hours to yield a reservoir coating with 400 µg of EVAL and 200 µg of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. A barrier layer can be formed by spraying the stent with a solution of polybutylmethacrylate ("PBMA") and HFE FLUX REMOVER. A second 2 hour bake at 50°C is performed to remove the solvent to yield a barrier coating with 150 µg of PBMA.

10

#### Example 43

An 8 mm PIXEL stent can be coated by spraying a solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of dimethylacetamide and ethanol onto the stent. The stent is then baked at 50°C for 2 hours to yield a reservoir coating with 200 µg of EVAL and 200 µg of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. A barrier layer can be formed by spraying the stent with a solution of EVAL and a mixture of dimethylacetamide and pentane. A second 2 hour bake at 50°C is performed to remove the solvent to yield a barrier coating with 200 µg of EVAL.

15

#### Example 44

An 8 mm PIXEL stent can be coated by spraying a solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of dimethylacetamide and ethanol

20

onto the stent. The stent is then baked at 50°C for 2 hours to yield a reservoir coating with 200 µg of EVAL and 200 µg of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. A barrier layer can be formed by spraying the stent with a solution of PBMA and HFE FLUX REMOVER. A second 2 hour bake at 50°C is performed to remove the solvent to yield a barrier coating with 150 µg of PBMA.

#### Example 45

An 8 mm PIXEL stent can be coated by spraying a solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of dimethylacetamide and ethanol onto the stent. The stent is then baked at 50°C for 2 hours to yield a reservoir coating with 200 µg of EVAL and 200 µg of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. A barrier layer can be formed by spraying the stent with a solution of EVAL and a mixture of dimethylacetamide and pentane. A second 2 hour bake at 50°C is performed to remove the solvent to yield a barrier coating with 200 µg of EVAL.

#### Example 46

An 8 mm PIXEL stent can be coated by spraying a solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of dimethylacetamide and ethanol onto the stent. The stent is then baked at 50°C for 2 hours to yield a reservoir coating with 200 µg of EVAL and 200 µg of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. A barrier layer can be formed by spraying the stent with a solution of PBMA and HFE FLUX REMOVER. A second 2 hour bake at 50°C is performed to remove the solvent to yield a barrier coating with 100 µg of PBMA.

Example 47

An 8 mm PIXEL stent can be coated by spraying a solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of dimethylacetamide and ethanol onto the stent. The stent is then baked at 50°C for 2 hours to yield a reservoir coating with 270 µg of EVAL and 150 µg of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. A barrier layer can be formed by spraying the stent with a solution of EVAL and a mixture of dimethylacetamide and pentane. A second 2 hour bake at 50°C is performed to remove the solvent to yield a barrier coating with 150 µg of EVAL.

10

Example 48

An 8 mm PIXEL stent can be coated by spraying a solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of dimethylacetamide and ethanol onto the stent. The stent is then baked at 50°C for 2 hours to yield a reservoir coating with 170 µg of EVAL and 150 µg of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. A barrier layer can be formed by spraying the stent with a solution of PBMA and HFE FLUX REMOVER. A second 2 hour bake at 50°C is performed to remove the solvent to yield a barrier coating with 75 µg of PBMA.

15

Example 49

An 8 mm PIXEL stent can be coated by spraying a solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of dimethylacetamide and ethanol

20

onto the stent. The stent is then baked at 50°C for 2 hours to yield a reservoir coating with 150 µg of EVAL and 150 µg of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. A barrier layer can be formed by spraying the stent with a solution of EVAL and a mixture of dimethylacetamide and pentane. A second 2 hour bake at 50°C is performed to remove the solvent to yield a barrier coating with 200 µg of EVAL. A finishing layer can then applied by spraying the stent with a solution of EVAL, polyethyleneoxide (molecular weight of 17.5 K) ("PEO") and dimethylacetamide. The stent is baked at 50°C for 2 hours to remove the solvent to yield a finishing coating with 83 µg of EVAL and 17 µg of PEO.

10

#### Example 50

An 8 mm PIXEL stent can be coated by spraying a solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of dimethylacetamide and ethanol onto the stent. The stent is then baked at 50°C for 2 hours to yield a reservoir coating with 270 µg of EVAL and 150 µg of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. A barrier layer can formed by spraying the stent with a solution of EVAL and a mixture of dimethylacetamide and pentane. A second 2 hour bake at 50°C is performed to remove the solvent to yield a barrier coating with 150 µg of EVAL. A finishing layer can then applied by spraying the stent with a solution of EVAL, PEO and dimethylacetamide. The stent is baked at 50°C for 2 hours to remove the solvent to yield a finishing coating with 83 µg of EVAL and 17 µg of PEO.

15

20

#### Example 51



An 8 mm PIXEL stent can be coated by spraying a solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of dimethylacetamide and ethanol onto the stent. The stent is then baked at 50°C for 2 hours to yield a reservoir coating with 200 µg of EVAL and 200 µg of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. A  
5 barrier layer can be formed by spraying the stent with a solution of EVAL and a mixture of dimethylacetamide and pentane. A second 2 hour bake at 50°C is performed to remove the solvent to yield a barrier coating with 100 µg of EVAL.

#### Example 52

An 8 mm PIXEL stent can be coated by spraying a solution of EVAL and  
10 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of dimethylacetamide and ethanol onto the stent. The stent is then baked at 50°C for 2 hours to yield a reservoir coating with 200 µg of EVAL and 200 µg of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. A barrier layer can be formed by spraying the stent with a solution of EVAL, KYNAR and HFE FLUX REMOVER. A second 2 hour bake at 50°C is performed  
15 to remove the solvent to yield a barrier coating with 50 µg of EVAL and 50 µg of KYNAR.

#### Example 53

An 8 mm PIXEL stent can be coated by spraying a solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of dimethylacetamide and ethanol  
20 onto the stent. The stent is then baked at 50°C for 2 hours to yield a reservoir coating with 350 µg of EVAL and 200 µg of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. A

barrier layer is formed by spraying the stent with a solution of EVAL and a mixture of dimethylacetamide and pentane. A second 2 hour bake at 50°C is performed to remove the solvent to yield a barrier coating with 200 µg of EVAL.

#### Example 54

5           An 8mm PIXEL stent can be coated by spraying a solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of dimethylacetamide and ethanol onto the stent. The stent is then baked at 50°C for 2 hours to yield a reservoir coating with 350 µg of EVAL and 200 µg of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. A barrier layer can be formed by spraying the stent with a solution of PBMA and  
10   HFE FLUX REMOVER. A second 2 hour bake at 50°C is performed to remove the solvent to yield a barrier coating with 100 µg of PBMA.

#### Example 55

          An 8 mm PIXEL stent can be coated by spraying a solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of dimethylacetamide and ethanol  
15   onto the stent. The stent is then baked at 50°C for 2 hours to yield a reservoir coating with 350 µg of EVAL and 200 µg of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. A barrier layer can be formed by spraying the stent with a solution of EVAL and a mixture of dimethylacetamide and pentane. A second 2 hour bake at 50°C is performed to remove the solvent to yield a barrier coating with 200 µg of EVAL.

20

#### Example 56

An 8mm PIXEL stent is coated by spraying a solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of dimethylacetamide and ethanol onto the stent. The stent is then baked at 50°C for 2 hours to yield a reservoir coating with 350 µg of EVAL and 200 µg of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. A barrier layer can be formed by spraying the stent with a solution of EVAL and a mixture of dimethylacetamide and pentane. A second 2 hour bake at 50°C is performed to remove the solvent to yield a barrier coating with 100 µg of EVAL. A finishing layer can then be applied by spraying the stent with a solution of EVAL, PEO and dimethylacetamide. The stent is baked at 50°C for 2 hours to remove the solvent to yield a finishing coating with 83 µg of EVAL and 17 µg of PEO.

#### Example 57

An 8 mm PIXEL stent can be coated by spraying a solution of EVAL and 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin in a mixture of dimethylacetamide and ethanol onto the stent. The stent is then baked at 50°C for 2 hours to yield a reservoir coating with 350 µg of EVAL and 200 µg of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin. A barrier layer can be formed by spraying the stent with a solution of PBMA and HFE FLUX REMOVER. A second 2 hour bake at 50°C is performed to remove the solvent to yield a barrier coating with 75 µg of PBMA. A finishing layer can then be applied by spraying the stent with a solution of PBMA, PEO and dimethylacetamide. The stent is baked at 50°C for 2 hours to remove the solvent to yield a finishing coating with 62.5 µg of PBMA and 12.5 µg of PEO.

While particular embodiments of the present invention have been shown and described, it will be obvious to those skilled in the art that changes and modifications can be made without departing from this invention in its broader aspects.

### CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A stent comprising a radially expandable body and a coating covering at least a portion of the body, the coating containing 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-  
5 rapamycin, or an analog or derivative thereof, wherein the release rate of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, or the analog or derivative thereof, in 24 hours after the implantation of the stent is less than about 50% of the total amount contained in the coating.
2. The stent of Claim 1, wherein the derivative or analogue of 40-O-  
10 (2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin includes 40-O-(3-hydroxy)propyl-rapamycin and 40-O-[2-(2-hydroxy)ethoxy]ethyl-rapamycin.
3. The stent of Claim 1, wherein the coating comprises an ethylene vinyl alcohol copolymer.
4. The stent of Claim 1, wherein the coating is made from a polymer  
15 including a first region having a first degree of crystallinity and a second region having a second degree of crystallinity, the second degree of crystallinity being greater than the first degree of crystallinity.
5. The stent of Claim 4, wherein the second region is positioned beneath the first region.
- 20 6. A method of inhibiting or eliminating the development of restenosis following a stent placement procedure, comprising implanting a stent which can elude 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, or an analog or derivative thereof, at a

release rate of less than 50% of the total amount of the drug carried by the stent in a 24 hour period following the implantation procedure.

7. The method of Claim 6, wherein the drug is contained in a polymer coating on the stent.

5 8. The method of Claim 6, wherein the stent carries about 50  $\mu\text{g}$  to about 500  $\mu\text{g}$  of 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, or analog or derivative thereof.

9. A method of providing drug delivery capability for a stent, comprising coating a stent with a polymer containing 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, or analog or derivative thereof, wherein the coating has an in vivo  
10 release rate of less than 50% of the total amount of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, or analog or derivative thereof, in a 24 hour period.

10. The method of Claim 9, wherein the release rate of the drug from the coating is adjusted by exposing a coating to sufficient temperature which causes modifications to the polymer so as to reduce the rate of release of the drug.

15 11. A method of treating a polymeric coating on a stent to reduce the rate of release of the drug from the coating, comprising exposing the coating to a temperature of a sufficient degree to cause modifications in the structure of the polymer which allows for the reduction of the release rate of the drug through the polymer.

20 12. The method of Claim 11, wherein the temperature increases the crystallinity of the polymer in at least a portion of the coating.

13. The method of Claim 11, wherein the temperature is above the glass transition temperature and below the melting temperature of the polymer.

14. The method of Claim 11, wherein the coating includes an additive for shifting the glass transition temperature or the melting temperature of the  
5 polymer to a temperature different than the actual glass transition temperature or the melting temperature of the polymer without the additive.

15. A method of treating a coated stent containing a therapeutic substance to reduce the rate of release of the therapeutic substance from the coating, comprising subjecting the coating to a stimuli so as to change the property  
10 of at least a region of the coating such that the change of the property of the region of the coating causes the therapeutic substance to be released more slowly from the region that has the changed property.

16. The method of Claim 15, wherein the stimuli does not significantly affect the properties of the therapeutic substance to make the therapeutic substance  
15 not useful for the intended purpose of the substance.

17. A stent comprising a coating produced in accordance with the method of Claim 15, wherein the coating includes a first region having a first property and a second region having a second property, wherein the release rate of the coating is slower from the first region than it is from the second region.

20 18. The stent of Claim 17, wherein the second region is beneath the first region.

19. A method of preparing a coated stent containing 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, or analog or derivative thereof, for an implantation procedure comprising sterilizing the stent while maintaining the peak purity of the 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, or analog or derivative thereof, at a level greater  
5 than 90%.
20. The method of Claim 19, wherein the coating is of a type that protects the purity from dropping to a level below 90%.



1/4




FIG. 1A




FIG. 1B




FIG. 1C

2/4




FIG. 2




FIG. 3

3/4





FIG. 4

4/4

**FIG. 5**

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/US 03/07908

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
 IPC 7 A61L31/16 A61L27/54

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 A61F A61L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ, FSTA, INSPEC, COMPENDEX

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 01 45763 A (ADVANCED CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM) 28 June 2001 (2001-06-28) page 7, line 10 -page 8, line 13 page 14, line 2 - line 25 page 15, line 26 -page 16, line 6 example 8	1,3-20
X	EP 0 970 711 A (ETHICON INC) 12 January 2000 (2000-01-12) page 2, line 39 - line 53 page 3, line 56 -page 4, line 4 page 4, line 33 - line 39 page 5, line 49 - line 54 claim 8	1,4-7, 9-20
	-/-	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents:

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- \*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- \*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- \*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- \*&\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

9 July 2003

Date of mailing of the international search report

21/07/2003

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Menidjel, R

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/US 03/07908

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
P, X	WO 02 056790 A (AVANTEC VASCULAR CORP ;SIRHAN MOTASIM (US); YAN JOHN (US)) 25 July 2002 (2002-07-25) page 3, line 2 - line 6 page 22, line 12 - line 23 page 30, line 22 - line 24 page 35, line 20 - line 27	1, 3-20
A	US 6 015 815 A (MOLLISON KARL W) 18 January 2000 (2000-01-18) column 3, line 35 - line 63 column 8, line 51 - line 66	1-20

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US 03/07908

## Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☒ Claims Nos.: —  
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:  
see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
2. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

## Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this International application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

Continuation of Box I.1

Although claims 6-8 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.

---

Continuation of Box I.1

Rule 39.1(iv) PCT - Method for treatment of the human or animal body by therapy



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 03/07908

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 0145763	A	28-06-2001	US 2002193475 A1	19-12-2002
			AU 2449601 A	03-07-2001
			CA 2395199 A1	28-06-2001
			EP 1242130 A1	25-09-2002
			WO 0145763 A1	28-06-2001
			US 2001014717 A1	16-08-2001
			US 2001018469 A1	30-08-2001
EP 0970711	A	12-01-2000	US 6153252 A	28-11-2000
			EP 0970711 A2	12-01-2000
			JP 2000051367 A	22-02-2000
WO 02056790	A	25-07-2002	US 2002114823 A1	22-08-2002
			US 2002082678 A1	27-06-2002
			US 2002082685 A1	27-06-2002
			US 2002082677 A1	27-06-2002
			US 2002082679 A1	27-06-2002
			WO 02056790 A2	25-07-2002
			US 2003033007 A1	13-02-2003
			US 2003050692 A1	13-03-2003
			US 2003017190 A1	23-01-2003
			WO 03009777 A2	06-02-2003
			WO 03009778 A2	06-02-2003
			WO 03009779 A2	06-02-2003
			WO 03037223 A1	08-05-2003
			US 2003083646 A1	01-05-2003
US 6015815	A	18-01-2000	US 6329386 B1	11-12-2001
			US 2002123505 A1	05-09-2002

**THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)**